1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION		
3			
4	STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA ) ex rel. Roy Cooper, Attorney )		
5	General, ) No. 1:06-CV-20		
6	vs. VOLUME 6A		
	)		
7	TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY, ) (Page 1285-1426)		
8	Defendant. ) )		
9	TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS		
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LACY H. THORNBURG UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
11	JULY 21, 2008		
12	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :		
13	On Behalf of the Plaintiff:		
14	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
15			
16	114 West Edenton Street Raleigh, North Carolina		
17	MICHAEL D. GOODSTEIN, Esquire		
18	ANNE E. LYNCH, Esquire		
19	5335 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite 360		
	Washington, DC		
20	On Behalf of the Defendant:		
21	FRANK H. LANCASTER, Senior Attorney HARRIET A. COOPER, Assistant General Counsel		
22	THOMAS F. FINE, Assistant General Counsel MARIA V. GILLEN, Assistant General Counsel		
23	Tennessee Valley Authority 400 West Summit Hill Drive		
24	Knoxville, Tennessee		
25	Karen H. Miller, RMR-CRR, Official Court Reporter		

1	INDEX		
2	PLAINTIFF WITNESSES:	PAGE	
3	TODD MORSE		
4	Direct Examination Mr. Gulick Cross Examination By Ms. Gillen	1287 1307	
5	Closs Examination by Ms. Gillen	1307	
6	WILLIAM CECIL		
7	Direct Examination Mr. Gulick	1310	
8	ERIC PLAKANIS		
9		1225	
10	Direct Examination By Mr. Gulick Cross Examination By Ms. Cooper	1335 1342	
11	DONALD BARGER		
12			
13	Direct Examination Mr. Gulick	1345	
14	JOHN MOLENAR		
15	Direct Examination Ms. Goodstein	1365	
16	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS		
17	NUMBER	ADMITTED	
18	255-260	1334	
19	262 264, 265	1334 1295	
20	270, 271 272, 273	1296 1302	
	288-295	1393	
21	296-298 300, 301	1410 1410	
22	302	1393	
	303	1398	
23	431	1367	
24	472 473, 473A	1376 1376	
	488	1365	
25			

```
PROCEEDINGS
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Is the State ready to proceed,
   Mr. Gulick?
 3
             MR. GULICK: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: And the TVA?
             MS. GILLEN: We are, Your Honor.
 6
 7
             MR. GULICK: Good morning, Your Honor. Jim Gulick
   for the State.
 8
              Our next witness is Todd Morse.
 9
              THE COURT: All right, sir.
10
11
                             TODD MORSE,
   being duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
12
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
13
             MR. GULICK: Your Honor, may I approach the witness
14
   to just show him how to use the screen?
15
              THE COURT: Yes, that would be fine.
16
   BY MR. GULICK:
17
        Please state your full name.
18
   Q.
        It's Todd Baker Morse.
19
   Α.
        Where do you live, Mr. Morse?
20
   Q.
21
   Α.
        I live in south Buncombe County.
22
        And how long have you lived there?
   Q.
         In my current residence, about ten years.
23
   Α.
        How long have you lived in Buncombe County?
24
   Q.
25
         I've lived in Buncombe County for ten years. I've lived
   A.
```

1 in western North Carolina about 21, 22 years.

- 2 **Q.** And what is your current occupation?
- 3 A. Current occupation is president of Chimney Rock Company.
- 4 Q. Until the last few years, had you been the president and
- 5 general manager of the Chimney Rock Park?
- 6 A. Yes, that's correct.
- My family owned Chimney Rock Park for 105 years, from 1902 to 2007. We sold it to the State of North Carolina in -- and we finalized the sale in May of 2007.
- 10 Q. And if you could, could you just give us a little bit of the history of the park? Was it operated as a park for that
- 12 period of time?
- 13 A. Well, the brief history is that my great-great uncle,
- 14 Dr. Lucius G. Morse, was a physician who was practicing
- 15 medicine in Chicago. He contracted tuberculosis and ended up
- 16 moving to North Carolina for the air quality, basically.
- 17 At that time, around just after the turn of the 20th
- 18 Century, there were a lot of clinics, sanitaria, set up for
- 19 tuberculosis sufferers in this area, and he came here
- 20 specifically for that purpose, to recuperate. And he used to
- 21 ride down through the Chimney Rock area for recreation, and
- 22 paid the family that owned it, back in 1902, 25 cents to ride
- 23 out to Chimney Rock. He fell in love with it, bought the
- 24 original 64 acres back in 1902, and then, over time, added
- 25 about a thousand acres.

It had been open to the public prior to our operating

it, and we operated it, as I said, for about 105 years as a

scenic attraction, a natural scenic attraction.

- Q. And did you -- how much of that did you sell to the state in 2007?
- A. We sold the entire thousand acres to the state. We basically sold all of the assets and the business to the State of North Carolina for inclusion of what is now Chimney Rock State Park.
- 10 **Q.** During the time in the last few years that you were the operator of Chimney Rock State Park, approximately how many visitors came to the park each year?
- 13 **A.** The average was in the neighborhood of a quarter million, 250,000.
- 15 Q. Did Chimney Rock visitors come from all over the country, or were they local, or both?
- 17 A. It was both. Lot of North and South Carolina residents
  18 came. But we had a lot of international visitation, as well
  19 as visitation from across the country as well.
- 20 Q. What kinds of activities can visitors do when they're at Chimney Rock State Park? Or Chimney Rock Park.
- A. Well, the portion that is open to the public is still
  what was known as Chimney Rock Park, and, basically, there's
  a 315-foot rock monolith called Chimney Rock, and so visitors
  can take in the wonderful scenic views from the top of that;

- and we've got interesting rock formations, a number of hiking 1 trails, and a 404-foot waterfall that was featured in the 2
- And about approximately how many -- what length of trails or how many miles of trails are there?

"Last of the Mohicans."

3

- We've got, I think it's just over five miles of trails. 6
- 7 Like to bring up Exhibit 265. And go to page 3, if you would. 8
- Ask you first, Mr. Morse, if you can identify what this 9 document is. 10
- This is the trail map that is used down at Chimney Rock 11 Park to help people orient themselves when they come for a 12 visit. This is generally the piece of literature they're 13 handed at the gate. You can also pick it up in other places. 14 But, primarily, we use it as a handout at the gate to show 15
- 16 people all around the park.
- MR. GULICK: If we could go to page 3 of this document and just sort of focus in a little bit on the map 18 itself, Gary, on the screen. Just if you could enlarge that. 19
- Your Honor, I believe it may be easier to see it on 20 21 the screen than on the hard exhibit.
- BY MR. GULICK: 22
- I was wondering if you could, Mr. Morse, just sort of 23 point out the various features here on this map. 24
- 25 This is the map you were talking about?

- 1 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 2 I'll circle the main feature. Let's see if I can do
- 3 this. Okay. That is Chimney Rock, and, as I mentioned,
- 4 that's really the namesake for the park. That's the primary
- 5 rock formation that we have, where 100 percent of our
- 6 visitors will come and visit.
- 7 As you'll see, the items marked in what looks like black
- 8 on this screen, up here and here, are two of our trails that
- 9 go to the top of the falls, and then, down below, is the
- 10 trail that goes to the bottom of our falls, which is, of
- 11 course, over here on the right-hand side of the screen.
- 12 And basically, when you're hiking the trail,
- 13 particularly on the upper trails, it's full of incredible
- 14 scenic views up and down the valley, looking back up toward
- 15 Little Pisgah, going toward Asheville and all the way down
- 16 towards Lake Lure and out on the Piedmont plateau below us.
- 17 Q. And what place on this map was shown in the movie "Last
- 18 of the Mohicans" that you mentioned?
- 19 A. Let me see if I can figure out how to clear this. There
- 20 we go. I got it.
- 21 **Q.** Got it?
- 22 **A.** I think. Oops. I've got to go back. Is there a clear
- 23 all?
- 24 Q. It's on the bottom right.
- 25 | A. Okay. During the "Last of the Mohicans," there was

- 1 footage that was shot at Groundhog Slide, which was one of
- 2 the last fight scenes, second to the last fight scene, along
- 3 the cliff trail; and then the final scene was shot at the top
- 4 of the 404-foot waterfall. There was a view just before they
- 5 actually entered Chimney Rock that was taken across the
- 6 valley showing the mountain and primarily showing the
- 7 waterfall in the background.
- 8 Q. I'd like to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 264.
- 9 And it will show up on the screen.
- 10 MR. GULICK: Your Honor, we've provided you a --
- 11 Your Honor, we've provided you a courtesy copy of the actual
- 12 document, I believe your clerk is handing you.
- 13 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- 14 BY MR. GULICK:
- 15 **Q.** Mr. Morse, what is this document?
- 16 \ A. This had been a book that we had produced in conjunction
- 17 | with a local photographer, Scott Graham, to depict a lot of
- 18 the scenes in the park. And it was really a souvenir book
- 19 that was produced a number of years ago.
- 20 Q. And on the cover of this document, what are we looking
- 21 at?
- 22 A. You're basically looking at a view from the stair tower
- 23 just to the west of Chimney Rock that goes from around the
- 24 opera box area to the Devil's Head area on the trails, and
- 25 looking across Chimney Rock, which is the obvious rock

- 1 formation in the lower part of the picture, and then across
- 2 that to the Piedmont plateau, including Lake Lure just off in
- 3 the distance.
- 4 Q. And I'd like to go into this document to page 6.
- 5 MR. GULICK: Your Honor, if you look at the
- 6 courtesy copy, the pages are tabbed with little markers, the
- 7 ones that we're going to go to.
- 8 BY MR. GULICK:
- 9 Q. And Mr. Morse, what are we looking now at on the screen?
- 10 A. What looks like, on a very clear day, you're getting a
- 11 picture of Chimney Rock taken from the parking lot area just
- 12 below Chimney Rock, which is what most visitors would come up
- 13 to and park at during a visit to the park.
- 14 \ Q. Now let's go to page 12 of the electronic copy. And
- 15 what are we looking at in this picture?
- 16 **A.** That is our 404-foot Hickory Nut Falls.
- 17 **Q.** Is that the highest fall in the park?
- 18 A. Yes, it is. It's one of the highest falls in the
- 19 eastern United States, actually.
- 20 Q. Now I'd like to direct your attention to page 12.
- 21 Oh, excuse me, page 18.
- 22 What are we looking at in this picture on the screen?
- 23 A. That's a picture of one of the rock formations that
- 24 we've got in the park called the Devil's Head, and it sort of
- 25 resembles a devil's head by profile. It was formed over many

- 1 years of erosion and weathering and so forth, and it's a rock
  2 that sits out on the edge of one of our cliff faces.
- 3 Q. And what is the valley or gorge that we're looking up?
- 4 A. You're basically looking up what we refer to as Hickory
- 5 | Nut Gorge. And Hickory Nut Gorge is the area that's made up
- 6 of the towns from Gerton through Bat Cave, Chimney Rock, and
- 7 all the way to Lake Lure. It's a natural gorge that was
- 8 carved by what we call the Rocky Broad River over many, many
- 9 years. It's a very steep gorge with rock-faced walls on
- 10 either side of it.
- 11 Q. And is there a mountain in the distance partly obscured
- 12 by the Devil's Head itself?
- 13 **A.** Yeah. That would be -- the one immediately behind the
- 14 Devil's Head would be Little Pisqah, which would be the
- 15 | mountain I referred to earlier that is -- I believe if you
- 16 | stand on top of Little Pisgah, that would offer you a view
- 17 looking back toward Asheville. So it's the highest peak in
- 18 | that immediate area.
- 19 MR. GULICK: Your Honor, I'd like to ask that this
- 20 Exhibit 264 and the one preceding be admitted into evidence
- 21 for illustrative purposes.
- 22 MS. GILLEN: No objection, Your Honor.
- MR. GULICK: And the other was 265.
- 24 THE COURT: Let it be admitted. That would be 264
- 25 and 265.

# (Plaintiff's Exhibits 264 and 265 received.)

# 2 BY MR. GULICK:

- 3 Q. I'd like now to look at Exhibit 270. And Mr. Morse,
- 4 | what are -- what is this?
- 5 A. That's a view of what we call the Rock Pile, another
- 6 rock formation in the park. And this was taken not too long
- 7 before sunset. I think this photo gives you an idea of some
- 8 of the sweeping vistas and panoramas that we have in the
- 9 park. This would be looking, I guess, northwest, and it was
- 10 taken from an observation -- another observation point, rock
- 11 formation, called Pulpit Rock, looking back north to
- 12 northwest, back up the valley.
- 13 Q. Now I'd like to show you what's been marked for
- 14 | identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit 271 and ask you if you
- 15 can identify this.
- 16 \ A. That, of course, is Chimney Rock, the top of Chimney
- 17 Rock.
- 18 Q. And is this the same photograph that was actually used
- 19 on the cover of the brochure?
- 20 A. It was on -- I believe on the cover of our brochure, not
- 21 on the book that we saw earlier, because that was taken
- 22 during fall color.
- 23 Q. So this is another time of year?
- 24 **A.** Yeah. This is during probably the spring or summer.
- $25 \mid \mathbf{Q}$ . And there appears to be a tree on the top of this. Is

```
that right?
 1
        That's correct. It's a pine that has been there for
 2
   many, many years, and it's very interesting that it just
 3
   grows out of the rock. One of the other things that is
   interesting about that tree is that we refer to it as a flag
   tree because -- and I was going to point out on the slide of
 7
   the Rock Pile the same thing, because I happened to notice
   another flag tree on that drawing -- or on that photograph as
 8
   well.
 9
        And the reason why it's referred to as a flag tree is it
10
   shapes itself over time in the direction of the prevailing
11
   winds. And it's kind of hard to tell from this photograph,
12
   unfortunately, but if you were on top of Chimney Rock, it's
13
   very obvious and apparent which direction the tree is shaped,
14
   and it basically is leaning from the west to the east.
15
   pointing toward the east. Our prevailing wind direction
16
   comes up the valley from the west to the east.
17
             MR. GULICK: Your Honor, I move that Exhibits 270
18
   and 271 be admitted into evidence for illustrative purposes.
19
             MS. GILLEN: No objection, Your Honor.
20
21
              THE COURT: All right. Let those be admitted.
              (Plaintiff's Exhibits 270 and 271 received.)
22
   BY MR. GULICK:
23
        I'd like now to -- Mr. Morse, did Chimney Rock Park
24
```

produce a video of a 360-degree view from Pulpit Rock?

A. Yes, we did. We have a number of pictures that are viewed through an IPIX viewer. One is of at the top of

Chimney Rock that we've used on our website to give people a better idea of what their experience would be like. I can't recall, but I think it's in the virtual tour part of our website.

Again, there are a number of places in the park where it gives people -- and on our website -- where it gives people the opportunity to get more of a sense. It's kind of hard sometimes to capture the awesomeness of the scenic beauty that we've got down there, and I think this IPIX give you a much better perspective of what's around on top of Chimney Rock and a couple other places in the park.

MR. GULICK: Your Honor, with the Court's permission, we would like to show the two very brief 360-degree views. We have the videos. With the Court's permission.

THE COURT: All right.

# BY MR. GULICK:

- Q. Now, I'd like to look first at the -- this is -- if you can identify what this one is. And we'll rotate it and stop at various places. If you can identify what we're seeing,
- 23 Mr. Morse.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 24 A. Okay. This would have been where we would have set the
- 25 IPIX tripod on Pulpit Rock, which is a rock formation. You

see Chimney Rock up here. Right over here. And where you
would -- where this person would have been standing, you get
the same image you do on that rock formation I refer to as
Pulpit Rock.

MR. GULICK: Now could you rotate it, Gary, to the left?

THE WITNESS: Now you're starting to see the view across Lake Lure, down right below where the icon is. And out -- and that's a view looking directly east. And, again, there is Lake Lure right there.

And then you're starting to look at the beautiful rocks, rock cliffs that we've got across from us, one being that mountain just below where I just touched, Rumbling Bald, and another one being just there under the icon called Round Top. But you get a sense of the incredible views that we've got all around this area.

That's looking -- starting to look pretty much directly north at this point. And then you'll see looking back up the valley. At this particular viewpoint, you won't be able to see all the way back up the valley. If you were able to get past this pine tree over here, or whatever that is, you would be looking back up, directly up the valley to the west.

And, of course, over here on the left-hand side of the image is the rock cliffs within Chimney Rock. On the top

of that is our upper trail, the Skyline trail, and just at
the base of that would be the trail, which would be one way
you would access the rock formation you see down below you.

But this looks like it was taken on a relatively
clear day.

MR. GULICK: Thank you.

Gary, could we go to Exhibit 273?

Your Honor, what we just looked at is Exhibit 272, and we're about to look at -- this is -- this, Your Honor, is marked for identification as 273.

### 11 BY MR. GULICK:

6

7

8

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 12 Q. Mr. Morse, what is this?
- A. This is a view from the top of Chimney Rock. And,
  again, the tripod was set on top of the chimney itself, and
  this is the view that we believe 100 percent of our visitors
  will experience while they're there, unless they have severe
  fear of heights, because you do have to climb up about 44
  steps to get to this area. So let's say 99.9 percent of our
  visitors go to that.

Again, you're looking at -- this is as a little aside -- a plaque that commemorates our family's history with the park. You'll see again Lake Lure out there in the distance. So off to this area, you're looking directly east. The IPIX does distort things a little bit because it's a bit of a fisheye lens, so it looks a little bit more tilted than it

- actually is. But, again, you're looking at, where the arrow 1 is, out in the direction of Lake Lure, which is east, 2 directly east. 3
- Do you know how far you can see in that direction when it's clear, as it appears to be in this picture?
- On a very clear day, you can see to King's Mountain and 6 just a little bit beyond that. And that's why, over many years, we used to -- in my early years of involvement with the park, when I started in 1986, we featured the 75-mile view prominently as part of our brochure because on many 10 clear days you could see 75 miles, on the clearest days. 11
- Does that remain the case? 12

19

21

22

We have, over the last number of years -- of course, I 13 can't remember exactly when it was withdrawn -- but we have 14 deemphasized the views from the top of Chimney Rock, and we 15 do talk about the views in our website, but they are much 16 less prominent than they used to be. 17

Frankly, there were a number of factors in deemphasizing 18 those views, but one of the primary ones for me was that we were having many more days, in my experience of my 21 and a 20 half years directly involved with the park, that visibility was greatly limited, and, in fact, on some of the worst days of summer haze, about where I have the arrow, at the end of 23 24 Lake Lure would have been about as far as you could see, 25 which I've not measured it exactly, but my estimate would be

1 in the neighborhood of 5 to 7 miles from the top of Chimney
2 Rock to that point.

And so we did deemphasize the 75-mile views because we didn't want our visitors to come and be disappointed if they couldn't experience the 75-mile views.

- 6 Q. In your experience, are they disappointed if it's hazy?
  - A. Well, I think so. My experience has been that. We had done a number of marketing research pieces over the years to try to get a sense of why our visitors are coming to the
- 10 park, and every time we have done those marketing research
- 11 pieces, the number one reason that they say they're coming is
- 12 the views and mountain scenery. And I also believe that the
- 13 City of Asheville, Buncombe County tourism folks that have
- 14 done research in this area, it's the same reason that people
- 15 are coming to western North Carolina, for the scenic views.
- 16 Q. As a businessman, did you use and rely on market tourism
- 17 at that time?

3

5

- 18 A. Oh, absolutely. We are a very marketing research driven
- 19 organization. We definitely listen to our visitors and try
- 20 to understand what they want and try to give them what they
- 21 want. We, over the years, have done quite a number of
- 22 marketing research pieces.
- 23 Q. In this particular case --
- MR. GULICK: Gary, can you rotate -- this is one of
- 25 those 360-degree views. Rotate to the right.

THE WITNESS: There's a better picture of our flag 1 tree, and I think you can see -- I don't know how to draw it 2 on here, but you can see about where the arrow is that that 3 was the tree that I was referring to, the pine, and you see how it leans. And, again, with a bit of distortion of the fisheye lens in the way that it moves across, it's hard to 7 tell exactly what direction, but you can see that it is leaning, and I think if you were on top of Chimney Rock, it's 8 very clear to see that it is leaning toward the east. MR. GULICK: Can we now rotate a little bit further 10 11 to the right, Gary? THE WITNESS: This is looking back toward our 12 Skyline gift shop and elevator. It's a little bit hidden 13 right behind the tree. Of course, this is the rest of 14 Chimney Rock Mountain, looking back and away from Lake Lure, 15 down toward where the stairs are leading off Chimney Rock. 16 And then there's the view looking back up the valley. 17 18 MR. GULICK: Thank you. Your Honor, like to move the introduction, the 19 admission of the two film clips, Exhibits 272 and 273, for 20 illustrative purposes. 21 22 MS. GILLEN: No objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Let those be admitted. 23 24 (Plaintiff's Exhibits 272 and 273 received.)

### BY MR. GULICK:

- 2 Q. Now, I think you've already indicated these were filmed
- 3 on relatively clear days.
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Mr. Morse, to what degree has -- are most of the days,
- 6 in your experience, as clear as the ones that are shown in
- 7 this film clip -- in these film clips?
- 8 A. In my experience, the days, primarily in the summer,
- 9 once we get into June, July, August, are not nearly this
- 10 clear. In fact, you know, I know a number of times where
- 11 I've gone up to the chimney and barely been able to see to
- 12 the end of Lake Lure, as I indicated a few minutes ago.
- 13 Q. Based upon your 20 years of experience, or 21 years of
- 14 experience, do you believe that it affects the quality of the
- 15 experience that your visitors have?
- 16 **A.** I believe it does, because I think that we have -- as a
- 17 business, we have a lot of what may be available for free in
- 18 western North Carolina, but one of the things that's really
- 19 our business premise was that in Chimney Rock Park you could
- 20 see all that's special about western North Carolina in one
- 21 place, and if you take away one of those things that's
- 22 | special about western North Carolina, I would believe that
- 23 our visitors would not have as good of an experience. You're
- 24 taking away one of the main selling points that we've got for
- 25 people to be there.

- Now, do you have an understanding about, in just a 1 general manner, about what's causing that haze? Not who, but what.
- Well, I would say yes, from the standpoint that I read the newspaper. I try to keep myself informed. I'm involved 5 with --
- 7 MS. GILLEN: Your Honor, we object to this testimony. Mr. Morse is a fact witness. 8
- THE COURT: Sustained. 9

#### BY MR. GULICK: 10

- Mr. Morse, is the haze that you see the same as clouds? 11
- No, it's not; it's very different. Clouds are -- you 12
- know, come in different forms and sizes and shapes and so 13
- forth, but I think the haze that we see is more just evenly 14
- spread and it's not distinctive, as clouds are. 15
- Is air pollution a concern -- was air pollution of 16
- concern to you as an owner of Chimney Rock Park? 17
- Yes, it was, I think for several reasons. One was, as 18
- I've already mentioned about the view, the impact on the view 19
- with haze, being our number one reason why people are coming 20
- 21 to the park and that impacting that.
- 22 I think, and I'm reminded this morning that there was a
- mention of ozone, high ozone levels, a code orange, I 23
- 24 believe, this morning when I opened up the paper. And we're
- 25 about hiking and getting outdoors, and our trails, one thing

I should have mentioned is we rate them as moderate to strenuous. And so the article that I read this morning specifically talked about limiting outdoor activity in the afternoon, and, you know, when you hear "code orange," you're not thinking about going hiking.

And I think the other issue is the publicity. We are very much, as I mentioned, marketing research driven. We're also very much word-of-mouth driven. That still shows up as our number one form of advertising, and experiences that people have at Chimney Rock help drive whether other people come as well. And I think when you see code orange days showing up or when you see record ozone days in the Smokies in the newspaper, that's not really the kind of publicity that is beneficial to the tourism industry and helping people want to make a choice to come to visit Asheville.

A lot of those things we do -- we put a lot of money into marketing to try to get people to come visit Chimney Rock, and that kind of negative publicity about the air quality in this area definitely can't be helping our business here at Chimney Rock or anywhere in western North Carolina.

- 21 Q. As a business person, or even in your personal capacity,
- 22 have you taken any actions to deal with the fact of air
- 23 pollution?

- 24 A. Yes, I have. I got involved with a group called the
- 25 | Clean Air Community Trust in Buncombe County when it was

first formed. It was a group of Buncombe County and
Asheville City folks that had gotten together that formed
this organization. It's all about educating the public,
coming up with programs around air quality, around energy
conservation. We do a lot of, I think, interesting and
innovative things to try to help our young people in this
area learn more about air quality issues in this area as
well.

I also -- and I can't recall the date, but I was involved with the grassroots group that was put together that helped create the Clean Smokestacks legislation a number of years ago. I was asked to serve on a committee of business people and environmentalists and other folks that were very concerned about the air quality, and, again, were the ones who ultimately drafted the Clean Smokestacks legislation. As part of that, I was asked to go to Raleigh to speak on the legislature steps as a representative of the tourism industry to help introduce the bill.

Q. Did you do that?

20 A. I did, along with a medical professional and some of the
21 legislators that were sponsoring the bill. And I got
22 involved with it because I knew it was an important first
23 step for the State of North Carolina to clean up its air, and
24 I spent some time lobbying some of our legislators to try to
25 get the bill passed, and was very delighted that it did,

```
1 through a lot of people's hard work.
```

- 2 Q. Does air pollution have a more personal impact on you
- 3 and your family?
- 4 A. Yes, it does. I'm a runner. I'm training for the New
- 5 York City marathon this year, which is a little scary. It's
- 6 only my second. But I like to run, and, obviously, to a
- 7 | long-distance runner, air quality is pretty important
- 8 personally.
- 9 And my wife has, unfortunately, in the last, I think it
- 10 was six to eight years, developed asthma from living in this
- 11 area -- as she's lived in this area.
- 12 And I've got two children, and I'd like for them to grow
- 13 up in an area where the air is clean to breathe. And again,
- 14 that was the reason why my family came here in the first
- 15 place, my great-great uncle recuperating from tuberculosis.
- 16 This was an area known for its air quality a long time ago.
- 17 MR. GULICK: I have no further questions.
- 18 MS. GILLEN: Just a few, Your Honor.

# 19 CROSS EXAMINATION

# 20 BY MS. GILLEN:

- 21 **Q.** Good morning, Mr. Morse.
- 22 A. Morning.
- 23 Q. I don't know if you need it, but if you do, we're kind
- 24 of doing the low-tech version of the exhibits, so it's
- 25 Plaintiff's Exhibit book 5 that will have exhibits, if you

- 1 need them.
- 2 **A.** Okay.
- 3 Q. I know you said you deemphasized the 75-mile views from
- 4 Chimney Rock. But they're still contained on your website,
- 5 | right?
- 6 A. They are. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And the 75-mile views was also featured in the press
- 8 release about the sale of the park to the State of North
- 9 | Carolina?
- 10 A. I'd have to see that. I'm not aware of that. But I
- 11 know there -- that was a very crazy time, when we were
- 12 selling, so I can't recall. I'd have to see that.
- 13 Q. Well, if you want to take a look, it is in that book No.
- 14 5, North Carolina exhibit book.
- MR. GULICK: Your Honor, may I assist him in
- 16 | finding that?
- 17 THE COURT: All right.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Could I ask for clarification? What
- 19 was the origin of that press release?
- MS. GILLEN: It was a June 28, 2007, press release.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Was it -- I guess my question was,
- 22 was it issued by the State of North Carolina or by Chimney
- 23 | Rock management?
- 24 MS. GILLEN: It's in the media room of the Chimney
- 25 Rock Park website, I believe. We'll look at it in a minute.

- 1 MR. GULICK: 274?
- 2 MS. GILLEN: Exhibit 274.
- 3 BY MS. GILLEN:
- 4 Q. And if you look on page -- page 1 shows you where it's
- 5 from, and then page 2, under the heading "Chimney Rock
- 6 Park" -- let's see.
- 7 A. Oh, I see. I see where it is, on page 2. This would
- 8 have been -- yes, I acknowledge that that is in there.
- 9 Again, my comments were really that, from a brochure
- 10 | standpoint, it was featured on the front of the brochure,
- 11 which means it was featured very prominently as a reason why
- 12 people would want to come there. It is still -- on a clear
- 13 day, we do have 75-mile views. That is still correct.
- 14 | Q. And do you offer free admission to children under six?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. I have a vested interest in this. I have a
- 17 | five-year-old, so just checking.
- 18 And you have reduced admission to children between the
- 19 ages of six and 15?
- 20 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 21 Q. And I think you just testified earlier that you welcome
- 22 about a quarter of a million visitors each year to the park?
- 23 A. Yes. In and around that area, yes.
- 24 Q. Great. Hopefully, it'll be a quarter of a million and
- 25 one soon.

```
1
         Thank you very much.
         Thank you.
 2
   Α.
                          No further questions, Your Honor.
              MS. GILLEN:
 3
              MR. GULICK: No redirect, Your Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: All right. Did you say --
 5
              MR. GULICK: I have no further questions.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: All right, then, Mr. Morse, that will
   complete your testimony and you are excused.
 8
              THE WITNESS:
 9
                            Thank you.
              MR. GULICK: Your Honor, our next witness is
10
   Mr. William Cecil.
11
                            WILLIAM CECIL,
12
   being duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
13
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
14
              MR. GULICK: Your Honor, may I approach the witness
15
   and show him how to use the screen?
16
              THE COURT: Yes.
17
              (Pause.)
18
   BY MR. GULICK:
19
        Mr. Cecil, you can eliminate those marks on your screen
20
21
   by touching the lower right-hand corner.
                Is that all I need to know?
        Okay.
22
   Α.
        That's all you need to know, except you can mark, if you
23
24
   want to, by touching the screen with your fingernail, and you
25
   can draw a circle or just touch it and put an arrow.
```

- 1 A. Okay. Thank you.
- 2 **Q.** Would you please state your full name.
- 3 A. William Amherst Vanderbilt Cecil, Jr.
- 4 Q. Where do you live, Mr. Cecil?
- 5 **A.** I live here in Asheville on Biltmore Estate.
- 6 Q. And where do you work?
- 7 A. I work for Biltmore Estate. I'm the president and CEO
- 8 of the Biltmore Company.
- 9 Q. What are your responsibilities as president and CEO of
- 10 | Biltmore Company?
- 11 **A.** Well, we operate four primary entities under the name of
- 12 the Biltmore Company, and I'm responsible for all of them.
- 13 They are the Biltmore House and Gardens; Biltmore Estate
- 14 | Wine Company; The Inn On Biltmore Estate; and what we refer
- 15 to as Biltmore For Your Home. It's a reproductions program
- 16 | that's sold in places like Belk's and Lowe's.
- 17 | Q. Let's talk a little bit about the Biltmore House. Could
- 18 | you tell us a little bit about what the Biltmore House is.
- 19 A. Well, Biltmore House is a big house -- it's a big house
- 20 that was built by George Vanderbilt back in 1890 to 1895, and
- 21 it was built as a private residence for the Vanderbilts as a
- 22 way for them to have an oasis, and also as a way to showcase
- 23 a model farm in western North Carolina. That was part of his
- 24 vision, was to have an area in western North Carolina, or
- 25 somewhere in the country anyway, that would be a model farm,

- 1 for the United States to be able to see that sustainable
- 2 agriculture was a better model than slash-and-burn
- 3 agriculture, which was fairly typical at that time.
- 4 Q. What was your relationship to him?
- 5 A. He's my great grandfather.
- 6 Q. At one time, do you know how much property he owned in
- 7 | this area?
- 8 A. Well, nowadays -- they changed the number back and forth
- 9 from 128,000 to 124,000 acres, so I'd have to assume -- I was
- 10 always told it was 128,000 acres, that he owned all the way
- 11 from where Biltmore House is to Mount Pisqah.
- 12 **Q.** That's the family history?
- 13 A. Yeah, that's the family history.
- 14 | Q. And how much of that land is still part of the estate
- 15 today?
- 16 A. Approximately 8,000 acres.
- 17 Q. And Mount Pisgah is now part of the national forest?
- 18 A. Yes, sir. Mount Pisqah is part of the Pisqah National
- 19 Forest. His widow, Mrs. Vanderbilt, sold the land to form --
- 20 I believe it was 87,000 acres -- the nucleus of the Pisgah
- 21 National Forest.
- 22 Q. Now, with respect to the building itself, would you tell
- 23 us a little bit about the house itself.
- 24 A. Well, it's about 180,000 square feet. It's the largest
- 25 privately-owned residence in the United States. We get about

1 1,100,000 visitors a year, is our gate count. Our actual
2 ticket sales are about a little less than that, a million 54,
3 56,000 is what we're forecasting this year. The difference
4 between the gate count and the forecast is the number of
5 12-month pass-holders that we have that are repeat visitors.

We count them separately.

- The house is filled with furnishings from the Vanderbilt era. It's basically been frozen in time to about 1914, when he passed away. We try to create this -- I don't know quite how to say it -- a quasi time travel experience, where you can get away from your daily grind and come up to Asheville and relax and just have a nice time in a perception of a world that was kinder, gentler, you know, no fax machines, cell phones, PDAs, stuff like that.
- **Q.** Do you conduct -- as a businessman do you conduct -- do

  16 you look at market research to see why people come to this

  17 area?
- 18 A. Yes, I do. I look at research from all kinds of sources
  19 and also commission my own research with our guests all the
  20 time.
- **Q.** And based upon that research, do you know what the primary reasons are that people come to the Asheville area and to the Biltmore?
- **A.** We find that the primary reason is the scenic beauty of our area, mountain vistas, views, just the general prettiness

- 1 of the area.
- We also find that this oasis, this sense of get away and
- 3 escape, is huge. We find that the there is also a sense of
- 4 safety here in Asheville that people like, and they come up
- 5 for the feeling of being safe.
- 6 Q. Does tourism play a large part of the economic
- 7 | well-being of this community?
- 8 A. Yes, it does.
- 9 Q. I'd like to show you what's been marked as Plaintiff's
- 10 Exhibit 262. It will appear on your screen.
- And just look at the cover of this, and I'm going to ask
- 12 you if you are familiar with this document.
- 13 A. Yeah. It's one of the surveys that the Chamber of
- 14 Commerce does.
- 15 **Q.** Are you a member of the Chamber of Commerce?
- 16 A. Yeah, I'm a member of the Chamber of Commerce and have
- 17 been on their board of directors for many years. In fact,
- 18 this past July ended my year as chairman of the Asheville
- 19 Area Chamber of Commerce.
- 20 MR. GULICK: Gary, I'd like to direct our attention
- 21 to page 18 of this survey.
- 22 BY MR. GULICK:
- 23 Q. And are you familiar with this particular page,
- 24 Mr. Cecil?
- 25 **A.** Yes, I am.

- Q. Would you just sort of tell us what you learned from this?
- 3 A. Well, consistently, mountain scenery and scenic views
- 4 are very important to the reasons people visit here. Also,
- 5 just to see Biltmore. And I think the relaxing is part of
- 6 that oasis experience that I mentioned just a moment ago.
- 7 Q. Now, the Biltmore Company is a company. How many
- 8 employees do you have?
- 9 **A.** It varies seasonably, but right now probably around
- 10 1800. We have a little bit less in the early season, and it
- 11 grows more, up to about almost 1900 by the time Christmas is
- 12 upon us. And we start off with festival of flowers in April,
- 13 and it probably starts about 1700, and we build through
- 14 there.
- 15 Our lowest season would be during the winter. We'll
- 16 | have 11 or 1200, being January, February and half of March.
- 17 Q. Let me show you what's been marked as Plaintiff's
- 18 | Exhibit 260 and ask you if you can identify this document.
- 19 A. Yeah. That's a front view of the Biltmore House, and it
- 20 looks like it's on our guidebook. It shows the very front of
- 21 the house, the main architectural features, and it's taken
- 22 | from about halfway up an area that we refer to as the ramp in
- 23 front of the house.
- 24 MR. GULICK: Your Honor, we've provided you a
- 25 courtesy copy of this document, which is a book.

1 THE COURT: I don't seem to have that.

MR. GULICK: Padron me, Your Honor. I'm about to provide you with a courtesy copy of the book. I apologize.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GULICK: May I approach the clerk?

THE COURT: Yes, please.

# BY MR. GULICK:

2

3

4

5

6

- 8 Q. You had indicated this is the front cover of a book that
  9 you published.
- We call this our guidebook. It's one of our 10 primary retail items. I don't know how much detail you want 11 me to go into, but it's designed around three of our primary 12 quests, and they refer to them as strollers, streakers and 13 studiers, and there's a little bit of each in there. Ιf 14 you're in a hurry, you can read the picture and byline; if 15 you want a little more information, you can read the first 16 paragraph; and if you're just absolutely fascinated by each 17 and every artifact, it's in the back, and you can reference 18 the rooms and the artifacts. 19
- It's been a very successful piece for us over the years.
- 21 Q. Thank you. We're going to take just a brief tour of this.
- 23 **A.** Okay.
- 24 Q. I'd like to go to the page -- electronic page 9 of this
- 25 document.

- 1 MR. GULICK: And if Your Honor is looking in the 2 hard copy, it is the page facing 9 in the hard copy.
- 3 **THE COURT:** Yes, I have that.
- 4 BY MR. GULICK:
- Q. And could you just tell us, Mr. Cecil, what we're
- 6 looking at in this particular picture.
- 7 A. That's a picture of the fireplaces in the tapestry
- 8 gallery on the first floor of the Biltmore House. Oh, and
- 9 also, that's a picture of George Vanderbilt on the left
- 10 there, lower left-hand corner.
- 11 Q. And you indicated, on the walls, you said this was a
- 12 tapestry gallery. Would you indicate where the tapestries
- 13 | are?
- 14 **A.** Well, that's one right there; and there is one to the
- 15 right of the fireplace you see in the upper right-hand
- 16 | corner; and there's one to the far left of the fireplace.
- 17 You see there's three along that gallery.
- 18 Q. Now I'd like to go to page 30, electronically, which is
- 19 page 29 of the hard copy, and ask you if you can identify
- 20 what that is.
- 21 A. Yeah. This is the main banquet hall in Biltmore House.
- 22 | It's the centerpiece of the house where the Vanderbilts held
- 23 their large banquets. The table seats about 66 people. And
- 24 the three fireplaces at the end are shown operating. We
- 25 actually now have natural gas that operates the fireplaces so

that we can provide a consistent experience for our guests.

We actually took a page out of Disney's book, and we used to have -- the fireplaces were wood-operated, obviously, and they would be beautiful and burn down, and beautiful and burn down, and so we put in natural gas fireplaces so that they would be consistent for each guest as they came through, providing them with a good solid experience.

It's a huge room. It's about 70 feet to the ceiling, and we put a 35-foot Christmas tree in there, consistent with what the Vanderbilts did at Christmas.

- Q. Like to go down to Exhibit 260, which is page 37.
- A. Okay. That's another view of the tapestry gallery, this time facing toward the library. The previous view was facing toward the concourse at the entrance to the Biltmore -- or the entrance to the main floor. This is the same view but reverse angle, facing in the other direction.

Also, it's showing a tapestry there on the right and that really elaborate fireplace design over the mantel of that fireplace. The curators explained to me that that's a type of a tattooing, where you take non-water soluble inks and put them into the limestone and create that.

Apparently, according to the National Trust For Historic Preservation, that's an incredible example of that particular technique. And we have -- I was going to say "recently," but it's not so recently now. We restored it in the late 1970s,

early 1980s to its original look and feel.

1

2

3

6

7

24

25

Apparently, the difference between really nice and not so nice is the subtle changes in hue across the deer and the other animals that are shown there. Most of them would be all one color rather than fading from one color to the next.

- Q. Is most of the stone work in the house itself limestone?
- A. Yes, it is. Well, it's a facing. There is brick underneath, and then the facing all throughout the house, inside and out, is limestone; where it's not wood, anyway.
- 10 **Q.** In addition to the house, are there grounds as part of the estate?
- The formal gardens are about 475 acres, and that 12 Yeah. would include the three-mile approach road, which has a 13 ribbon of fine landscaping following the road up to the 14 house. And then we have a formal garden, what they call an 15 English Walled Garden, which is where we put the tulips and 16 the roses and the seasonal planting beds. But we also have a 17 about 175-acre azalea garden with 100 different varieties of 18 native azaleas in there that were collected by a man named 19 Chauncey Beadle back during and after the Vanderbilt era. 20 21 And then there is a couple other gardens that are associated 22 with the formal garden. One we refer to as The Ramble and one we call The Italian Garden. 23
  - Q. Thank you. Are guests permitted or invited to walk about the grounds?

- Guests are actually very much encouraged to walk 1 about the grounds. Back in the '80s we found that only about 2 40 percent of our quests during the summer season -- not 3 spring, but summer season actually got out of their vehicle. Most of them just walked from their vehicle -- rode through the gardens in their vehicle. And now we get about 85 to 7 86 percent of our guests actually put their foot down in the gardens. And that's a huge thing for us. We really think 8 that if you're going to experience this like the Vanderbilts did, you should enjoy the entire estate, not just the lavish 10
- 12 **Q.** Like to draw your attention to the same exhibit, but 13 this time at page 95.
- 14 What is depicted in this picture, Mr. Cecil?

furnishings inside Biltmore House.

- 15 A. That's one of our woodland trails. We have various
  16 degrees of trails, and this one is a woodland trail with the
  17 mulch that we put down as wood chips, and then a nice scene
  18 passing over a bridge down in one of our gardens. Looks to
  19 me like that's going to be in the azalea garden, but I'm not
  20 positive.
- 21 Q. Now I'd like to draw your attention to page 97 of the
  22 same document. And can you tell us, Mr. Cecil, what we're
  23 looking at here.
- A. Yes. That's a view of our vineyards. The oak tree in the sort of upper middle right-hand side is the vinyard

1 section that we refer to as Oak Knoll, based on that tree.

2 You're looking at Cabernet Sauvignon at Oak Knoll and

3 Chardonnay grapes in the foreground. I believe we are,

 $4\mid$  anyway. We could be just a little bit to the left of where

5 the Chardonnay is. Oh, there's about 100 acres, in that

6 area. The vineyards -- It's actually about, right now at

7 about 93.7 acres of vineyards the way the wine master counts

it, but it's actually about 300 acres of cultivated land.

9 They just don't count anything except for the vines and three

- feet around the vines, and they add it all up.
- 11 Q. Mr. Cecil, do you, as president and CEO of Biltmore,
- 12 have concerns about air quality?
- 13 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 14 Q. Would you briefly tell us what those concerns are? And
- 15 then you can go back through them and discuss them a little
- 16 more.

- 17 A. Okay. Well, I primarily -- I was trying to think about
- 18 this over the weekend. And my concern is when we can't see
- 19 Mount Pisqah from Biltmore. See, Pisqah was a part of the
- 20 historic view shed, and if we can't see that, we get an awful
- 21 lot of discussion, and complaints, really, from our guests,
- 22 | saying why can't I see the mountains, and that scenic vista
- 23 is the main thing that we look at.
- 24 Q. Was the house situated in a particular way, with an eye
- 25 towards the view of Mount Pisgah?

A. Yes. It's said, according to the family story anyway,
that George Vanderbilt's bedroom was located in such a way
that he could look over all of his property that he owned
from the windows that were in his bedroom, and that Frederick
Law Olmstead, landscape architect, and Richard Morris Hunt
specifically oriented these views to focus out toward Mount
Pisgah.

Now, I know that that's not exactly true because if you look out the far right window, you see west Asheville, and he never owned west Asheville. So I think they probably kept those curtains drawn.

Q. But does the back of the house, or the front of the house, if you will, actually face towards Mount Pisgah?

A. Well, the back of the house -- well, the south terrace faces the best view of Mount Pisgah, which is off of the library. And if you go out off the library terrace where -- apparently, the library would have been something very special for his guests at that time. Nobody would have had access to 20,000 volumes of books, except at a university, back in the 1890s, and it would have been very special.

And they spent a lot of time in that tapestry gallery that you showed earlier. There is a loge up there that looks out and you can see Mount Pisgah off in the distance; and then the south terrace and the library terrace, you could see Mount Pisgah. Apparently, the guests spent quite a bit of

- time there enjoying that. It was specifically located to create that oasis, a time to recharge for his friends and family.
- 4 Q. And you indicated that -- how does air quality affect 5 that experience?
- A. Well, when there's haze and you can't see Mount Pisgah,
  and people are either on the rooftop tour, which kind of -the rooftop tour has a little bit of a fear factor in it
  because you go up a little narrow stairwell and then you open
  up to a guardrail with the whole world out there behind you,
  and when you can't see that, they comment about the views and
  the vistas and how they're disappointed that they couldn't
  see as well as they had hoped.
- Q. Do you have -- as president and CEO of the Biltmore, do you have other concerns about air quality?
- 16 A. Yes. When we get an ozone alert day, we take
  17 precautions with our employees very specifically.
- Several years ago there was something in this area 18 called nonattainment, and we were threatened with 19 nonattainment from EPA or some organization. I'm pretty sure 20 21 it was EPA. And we took a step to form an Early Action 22 compact, and they told us what we could do locally to reduce 23 the effects if you had one of these alert days. And so we 24 incorporated those steps in our employee training -- what we 25 call BEST training, Biltmore Estate Staff Training -- and we

incorporated some of them. They're common sense. 1 But we fuel vehicles early in the morning or late in the day, not in 2 the middle of the day. We also have people hopefully not go 3 out to lunch. You know, if they can bring their own lunch with them, they do. We also have enough flexibility in our schedules that we'll delay or postpone mowing and we'll delay and postpone any kind of farming, agricultural work that we can, whether it be tilling or plowing or just any kind of work with big, heavy tractors, and weedeaters and leaf blowers to the best of our ability. We can delay a lot of 10 It won't hurt one or two days to stop that. 11 12

And the purpose of this is what?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, the purpose is to be responsible local corporate citizens and try and reduce the intensity of one of these alert days that comes up from time to time.

Now, the other things we do is, also, we have specific things to look out for our employees who are outside, particularly outdoor staff. We give them more frequent breaks. And also -- and this I just really discovered recently -- we have a lot of elderly drivers in our shuttle bus programs, and those drivers need extra breaks during these ozone alert days, at least according to the supervisors in those departments. They regularly schedule about 30 percent more breaks during these days.

As president and CEO of Biltmore, do you have concern

- about air pollution with respect to the health of your employees and guests?
- 3 A. Yes, I do. We monitor our employees and keep an eye on
- 4 our guests. And when I say "keep an eye on our guests," we
- 5 have a lot of stairs inside Biltmore House, and we also have
- 6 a pretty long walk from the gardens back to the parking,
- 7 where you were parked. About three years ago, however, we
- 8 | started shuttling anybody who wants a shuttle back from the
- 9 gardens to the parking lot, so back to the front of the
- 10 house, and then the parking lot shuttle picks them up there.
- 11 So that has greatly reduced the strain on our guests as they
- 12 | walk back to the parking lot.
- 13 **0.** There are elevators in the Biltmore?
- 14 A. Yeah. We have two elevators. One is licensed as a
- 15 | service-only elevator and has to be operated by somebody.
- 16 | It's actually the oldest operating Otis elevator in the
- 17 | country. It's kind of cool.
- 18 Q. Did that get more use in that area?
- 19 A. No, I don't think so. We get an awful lot of use in
- 20 that for strollers and wheelchairs and generally older guests
- 21 who can't particularly handle the stairs going down. It's
- 22 knee injuries and stuff that we see most use of the
- 23 elevators.
- 24 Q. Do you, as president and CEO of Biltmore, have other
- 25 concerns about air pollution that you haven't described?

- 1 A. Yeah. We have an awful lot of horses in our trail ride
- 2 program, and carriage horses or draft horses in our programs,
- 3 and in the past we've had a couple that really had breathing
- 4 difficulties and we had to take them out of service,
- 5 particularly the draft horses. But for a long time we had
- 6 this one horse, who is now retired, and we just immediately
- 7 took him out of service. He would make this horrible
- 8 wheezing sound on certain really hot, you know, hazy days.
- 9 And so we'd take him out of service. But we watch the staff
- 10 and the guests as well, but in that case the horse does most
- 11 of the work.
- 12 Q. I'd like to show you what's been marked as Plaintiff's
- 13 Exhibit 255. And just tell us a little bit about what this
- 14 shows.
- 15 **A.** Okay. That's the front view of the Biltmore House
- 16 again, and it shows the distant mountains in the background.
- 17 Also, it shows just the whole view of the house, and that's
- 18 taken on a very pretty day.
- 19 Q. Like to ask you now, do you have any concerns about the
- 20 affect of air pollution on the property itself?
- 21 A. Yes, I do. The curatorial staff spends a great deal of
- 22 money -- I like to say it's a great deal of energy, but it's
- 23 the same thing. And they have -- or I have, I guess -- we
- 24 have commissioned studies of various things on the house, and
- 25 we find that the copper gutters and the copper roof lines,

- particularly the metal, have been affected by what they
  describe as acid rain.
- 3 Q. And could you point out for us -- are those visible on 4 this photograph?
- A. Yes, they are. Some of the worst areas actually aren't terribly visible, but I can point out where I'm talking about.
- The ridge line right there is one of the areas, and then this ridge line across here is also affected.
- Okay. If you could clear your -- oh, there you are.

  What is the copper -- is that the green?
- 12 A. Yeah, that's the green part above the slate roof.
- 13 That's copper. The green in and of itself isn't a bad thing.
- 14 But here is a place you can actually see -- if you can show
- 15 it. Right there is a valley. I just pointed out a valley.
- 16 It's where the roof lines come together and form a V. That's
- 17 where we find that we get the most damage in the form of
- 18 pitting, and we, since the 1980s, really about '85, '86, our
- 19 director of house operations, Rick King, has coined a phrase
- 20 that he likes to call beaver chipping, in that we keep
- 21 working at it year after year after year, and we put about 25
- 22 to \$30,000 a year into replacing those copper gutters so as
- 23 to never get water inside Biltmore House. One of our biggest
- 24 fears is that all of us will not outlive the slate roof.
- 25 None of us want to be in charge when the slate roof has to be

- 1 replaced. And so we're all hoping to do just every bit we 2 can to not have to do that, and keeping the water out of the
- 3 house is number one there.
- 4 Q. Back up and look at the whole again.
- You had indicated earlier that the stone facing on the house is made of limestone?
- 7 A. Um-hum. Yes. We do find where water does touch the
- 8 house that we'll have some -- I don't know if the right word
- 9 is etching. It's more discoloration. You'll have a very
- 10 white and a very dark area as the water runs off the house.
- 11 Q. And do you have -- do you have a curatorial staff to
- 12 assist you in the operation of the house and the estate?
- 13 **A.** Oh, yes, sir.
- 14 Q. And what is the cause of that etching that you
- 15 described?
- MS. GILLEN: Objection, Your Honor. This is a fact
- 17 witness.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
- 19 **THE WITNESS:** Excuse me?
- THE COURT: You may answer.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Oh. They tell us that it's the acid
- 22 rain.
- 23 BY MR. GULICK:
- 24 | Q. I'd like to show you what's been marked as Plaintiff's
- 25 Exhibit 256 and just ask you, is this one of the horse

1 carriage trails and the horses that you were talking about?

A. Yes. This is actually a special event that we did where we invited the U.S. -- Carolina Carriage Association as part of the U.S. Carriage Association, to a special event. This isn't one of our typical trails. This is one of our guest

6 roads down to the lagoon, showing the west side of the 7 Biltmore House.

But this is not typical with what we do with our guests when we charge them for carriage rides. We find that they like the smaller private carriages. We used to do these big kind of wagon rides with 10 or 15 people, and it wasn't unusual to have them pay for the entire wagon ride just so one family could go or boyfriend and girlfriend could go on a romantic kind of time-travel experience.

- 15 Q. And I'd like to look at page 2 of Exhibit 257.
- 16 **A.** Is there a way to remove my marks on there?
- 17 Q. Yes. Bottom right-hand corner.

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

18 A. Bottom right. There we go. Thanks.

That's a picture at the lagoon, sort of a similar

picture from where the carriages were, just in a different

angle. That's actually very close to where a movie was

filmed with -- what was it called -- "Being There," with

Peter Sellers, and he walked out into the water pretty close

to there at the end of the movie.

25 **Q.** And does this appear to be a fall day?

- 1 A. Yes, it does. And a very pretty fall day.
- The only time this picture gets even prettier is when
- 3 there is no wind at all and you can see the complete
- 4 reflection of Biltmore House in the lake, and that's what
- 5 they were going for with that movie, and they were very
- 6 successful in catching that. This picture doesn't quite
- 7 catch it as well.
- 8 Q. Now I'd like to show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 259 and ask
- 9 if you can identify it.
- 10 A. That's a picture of -- appears to be a picture of the
- 11 loge off the south of Biltmore House, or southeast part of
- 12 Biltmore House. That's the area that's like a porch that's
- 13 off of the tapestry gallery.
- 14 A lot of our guests go out there, and we offer them
- 15 chairs that are quite comfortable that are not part of our
- 16 artifacts. We used to let people sit in all the old chairs,
- 17 and after a million people a year sit in them, they don't
- 18 | hold up so well, so we regularly replace new chairs and we
- 19 allow people to take a break from their tour on the loge and
- 20 enjoy these kind of views. This is a very pretty fall day
- 21 and a little bit closer to peak color than we see in the
- 22 previous picture.
- 23 Q. And in this picture, what are the mountains we're seeing
- 24 in the background?
- 25 **A.** Well, we're seeing the range to the south. If that

- 1 pillar wasn't quite right in the way, we'd probably be seeing
- 2 Mount Pisgah, which is, I believe, pretty close behind that
- 3 pillar, but I'd have to go out there to be sure about that.
- 4 It could be -- no, I'm pretty sure it's behind that pillar.
- 5 **Q.** And this is a very clear day. Is that always visible
- 6 from the loge?
- 7 **A.** No. Mount Pisgah is not always visible, and that's when
- 8 | we get concerned and we also see the complaints that I
- 9 mentioned from the quests who take our rooftop tour and
- 10 behind-the-scenes tour because that includes the upper areas
- 11 of the house where they can see the longest distances.
- 12 Q. How bad can the haze be?
- 13 A. Well, I mean, some days if you look from the loge and
- 14 you look toward the farmer's market, which is about two and a
- 15 half, three miles away, there's days when you can barely see
- 16 the farmer's market at the intersection of I-40 and I-26.
- 17 | That's the ones when we get really kind of concerned. And
- 18 those kind of days when you can barely see the farmer's
- 19 market is the days when we see a lot more complaints from our
- 20 guests.
- 21 **Q.** How far away is Mount Pisgah, roughly?
- 22 | A. I'm told it's 17 miles as the crow flies, which is a
- 23 straight line from the house.
- 24 Q. Like to show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 258 and ask you if
- 25 you can identify it.

This is a picture from the library terrace, which is 1 2 just adjacent to the south terrace, in between the south terrace and the loge. It's a right off the back side of the 3 house, very close to where we just saw, but without the pillars in it, also in fall colors and on a pretty day. This area is an area we refer to as the Deer Park, 6 7 especially if you move the picture just a little bit to the right. And you can barely see the lagoon, which is where you 8 saw the picture from that water back up toward the back side of the house. Barely see it. Right about in that area, 10 right there. And you see deer in the afternoon come out 11 there. And a lot of our guests from the cities, from 12 Atlanta, Raleigh, Charlotte, they get very excited when they 13 see white tail deer and wild turkey in this field. And you 14 can see them on a fairly regular basis. 15 As a result of your concerns about air pollution, have 16 you, as president and CEO of the Biltmore Company, taken any 17 steps to take action with regard to air pollution? 18 Yes, I have. As a board member of the Chamber of 19 Commerce, several years ago, when we were trying to get the 20 21 Clean Smokestacks bill passed, I got the Chamber of Commerce 22 to pass a resolution, just in general, supporting clean air 23 and air quality. I actually received a phone call later from 24 the U.S. Chamber of Commerce explaining to me that I 25 shouldn't have done that, but we did because we felt it was

1 important. And the resolution was passed during that whole 2 process leading up to the Clean Smokestacks bill.

I also worked with the Environmental Defense Fund -- I believe they're now called Environmental Defense -- at the request of my mother, who was a very strong proponent for nature conservancy and environmental defense and all of this. And I went to Washington and testified on behalf of western North Carolina for something that the EPA referred to as BART --

10 | Q. Best Available Retrofit Technologies?

3

4

- 11 **A.** Best Available Retrofit Technologies.
- 12 Q. And about when was that? As best you can remember.
- 13 A. That was -- it was after 2001, because I worked with
  14 Congressman Taylor to help present his general accounting
  15 office report in May of 2001 that talked about kind of a
  16 snapshot of air quality at that time, and I was the moderator
  17 over at Diana Wortham theater when that was presented to the
  18 public in his district, and it was after that, because the
  19 BART testimony went -- I included that information in the
- 20 packet of information that I gave as a leave-behind in
- 21 Washington. But it was in that time frame, 2001 or 2.
- 22 **Q.** Mr. Cecil, does air quality in this area still need improving?
- 24 A. Well, recently, I might have answered that question a
- 25 little differently, but this very hot air that we've had in

```
early June -- we've had quite a few days in early June where
 1
   we had real significant problems with haze, and in the past
 2
   week or so we've had another few days where we've had some
 3
   really significant problems with haze.
        As I was talking to my family about this opportunity to
 5
   be here in court today, I was, you know, sitting on the back
   porch of our house, and you could see the farmer's market,
   but it was really hazy. You could not see Mount Pisqah
 8
   yesterday evening. And we were talking about that.
   know, I think it could always be improved, and it's very
10
   important to us.
11
             MR. GULICK: Thank you. I have no further
12
   questions, Your Honor.
13
             MS. GILLEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
             MR. GULICK: I apologize, Your Honor, I need to
15
   move into evidence for illustrative purposes several exhibits
16
   that we looked at, and these include Exhibits 255, 256, 257,
17
   258, 259, 260, 261 -- excuse me -- correction. Not 261.
18
   262. And I'd like to move those into evidence for
19
   illustrative purposes, Your Honor.
20
21
              THE COURT: All right. Let those be admitted.
22
              (Plaintiff's Exhibits 255 through 260, and 262
        received.).
23
24
             MS. GILLEN: Your Honor, we have no questions for
25
   Mr. Cecil.
               Thank you.
```

```
Thank you. That will complete your
 1
              THE COURT:
 2
   testimony, and you are excused, Mr. Cecil.
 3
              THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
             MR. GULICK: Your Honor, the next witness the State
 4
   would call is Mr. Eric Plakanis.
 5
 6
                            ERIC PLAKANIS,
 7
   being duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
 8
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
   BY MR. GULICK:
 9
        Good morning.
10
   Q.
11
   Α.
        Morning.
        Please state your full name, Mr. Plakanis.
12
        Eric Scott Plakanis.
13
   Α.
        Plakanis. Excuse me. I've been mispronouncing your
14
   Q.
15
   name since I met you.
16
   Α.
        Very common.
        Where do you live, Mr. Plakanis?
17
   Q.
        Outside of Gatlinburg, Tennessee.
18
   Α.
        And how long have you lived there?
19
   Q.
20
   Α.
        For about ten years.
21
   Q.
        What is your occupation, Mr. Plakanis?
22
         I'm a trail guide and owner of a guide service in the
   Great Smoky Mountains National Park called A Walk In The
23
```

And tell us a little bit about the Walk In The Woods,

Woods.

24

- 1 what it is.
- 2 A. Well, we offer a wide range of services to help people
- 3 enjoy the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. Primarily, we
- 4 lead interpretive walks, everything from very short walks to
- 5 day hikes and multiple-night backpacking trips; but we also
- 6 provide support services to other hikers and backpackers,
- 7 like offering shuttle service and equipment rental.
- 8 Q. And is this the only thing in your profession?
- 9 **A.** No.
- 10 Q. What did you -- what used to be your profession?
- 11 A. For a period of time, I was a financial accountant --
- 12 financial controller at a video post-production house in
- 13 Atlanta, for about ten years.
- 14 | Q. And what brought you to this change in profession, if
- 15 you will?
- 16 A. Well, my wife and I have always loved the outdoors, and
- 17 | we wanted to do something that felt a little more meaningful
- 18 with our lives, and sharing and introducing people to the
- 19 wonders of the natural world felt a lot more important than
- 20 what we were doing.
- 21 Q. In running your business, Mr. Plakanis, does air
- 22 pollution affect your business?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 MS. COOPER: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
- 25 that. It's an opinion by a fact witness.

```
MR. GULICK: Your Honor, I'm not asking his
opinion. I'm asking if it affects his business. Seems to me
he's entitled to answer that question.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. You may
answer.
```

THE WITNESS: Yes.

## BY MR. GULICK:

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Could you tell us the way or ways in which it affectsyour business.
- 10 A. Let's see. In many different ways. One very direct way
  11 is that on days like today, where we have an air quality
  12 alert in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park, we cannot
  13 bring our clients into the upper elevations or do strenuous
  14 programs.
  - So, like, on Friday, where we had a trip scheduled to go to the top of Mount LeConte and back down, we had to cancel that and try to reschedule it.
  - In addition, it just makes our job a lot harder. On a great visibility day, no matter what we're doing, our clients love it. When visibility is poor, we have to work a lot harder to try to awaken the enthusiasm that is naturally there on a great visibility day.
- Q. Had you personally ever had an experience relating to health as a result of air pollution?
- 25 **A.** I did.

Q. Would you tell us about that experience?

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with me.

In May of 2000, I was guiding a backpacking trip in the 2 eastern part of the Great Smoky Mountains National Park, and 3 on the second day, we were going from Walnut Bottoms, at about 3,000 feet, up to Mount Sterling, which is a little under 6,000. And after an hour or two into the hike, I lost my breath. We were going uphill, so that's not an uncommon So I took a little break to recover. But then, once I started hiking again, I didn't get another hundred yards before I lost my breath again. And this time we took a 10 five-minute break, dropped our packs, sat down for a little 11 while. And then when we started again, I didn't get a 12 hundred yards until I lost my breath. It was very difficult 13 for me to get air. And at that point I was getting very 14

And that situation just continued to deteriorate. And we took a long lunch break, you know, sat down for an hour. And even after that, immediately after we started going again, the problem continued. And once we finally limped into camp, even that night, it continued until sometime during the evening. It was like something changed and all of a sudden I could breathe again, and I was able to continue the rest of the trip without incident.

nervous because I was responsible for the people backpacking

Q. Did you learn what the air quality was on that day?

- A. Yeah. We weren't that aware of the air quality issues
  back then. When I came off the trail, there were articles in
  the local newspaper talking about how high the ozone levels
  were during my trip, and that's really when we became very
- 5 aware of the ozone situation.
- Q. Is that affecting how you handle your customers and what kinds of trips you take them on when there are ozone alerts?
- 8 A. It certainly does. Now, you know, every morning we
  9 print out a report that not only has the weather forecast; it
  10 has information about the visibility and air quality.
- And, you know, this weekend has been a tough one for us because of the very poor air quality. Every group that we met, we had to discuss those implications with them and how it may affect them. And like you mentioned, we had to reroute some trips.
- 16 **Q.** Do you give tours in both the Tennessee and North Carolina parts of the park?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Have you actually had -- I think you indicated that your 20 customers were disappointed if they didn't have good views.
- 21 Is that your experience?
- A. Absolutely. You know, we do some trips over and over again, and we'll take them to some great vista points, places like Charlie's Bunion, Rocky Top, Mount Cammerer, and on good
- 25 visibility days, you can just see the excitement, the

5

8

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

enthusiasm. On those days, it will be hard to get them to
leave, to go on to continue the trip. But on poor visibility
days, people get there, you know, step on Charlie's Bunion,
look around, and it's like, okay, fine, I'm ready to go now.

In fact, we sort of changed the pacing of our trip based on the visibility because we'll know people want to spend more time at those vistas on good visibility days.

- Q. Have you ever seen or experienced, if you will, any kind of monetary effect as a result of that?
- Certainly. We also run backpacking trips on the 10 A. Applachian Trail for REI adventures, and I get to do 11 approximately two of those a month. And last year it just 12 happened that there was sort of a little experiment set up, 13 because our primary route starts from Newfound Gap and covers 14 the east side of the Applachian Trail, but there were two 15 trips early on that had to do the other side of the park, and 16 17 those were the two we had there.

With those REI trips, the clients get a questionnaire to rate the quality of their experience and the quality of their guides, and on both of those trips, we received the highest scores, sevens, all the way down the line. The difference was, on the first trip, the visibility was very good; on the second trip the visibility was poor. And on the first trip, we were tipped over \$600. The second trip was more -- was less than \$200.

And so there is just a situation where we both did the identical trail, same shelters, everything, less than a month apart; the main difference was that intangible, because both those trips, each client was very happy with the service, very happy with the trip, and the only big difference was that visibility.

- Q. Now, you live in Tennessee; is that correct?
- 8 A. Correct.

- 9 Q. Do you have concerns about air quality in Tennessee?
- 10 A. I have great concerns. You know, my wife and I have
  11 built this business and, for us, it's our dream job. We are
  12 making a living doing what we absolutely love. But each year
  13 we have to make a decision if we want to do this another
  14 year. Because we're guinea pigs. We are guinea pigs on what
  15 happens when you spend a lot of time in a high-ozone
- 16 condition exerting yourself.
- 17 **Q.** Have you ever had occasion when the visibility in the park has been really great?
- A. Yes. A few years ago our park was impacted by two
  hurricanes, and as the second one was coming in, as a
  precautionary measure, the park closed a bunch of roads and
  all their campgrounds. But that second hurricane sort of
  petered out, it didn't affect us that badly, and we had
  already canceled all our work, so I was off. And when it
  went through, the sky cleared and I took that opportunity to

```
hop in the car and go up to Clingman's Dome, and it was
 1
   spectacular. The sky was a -- just a deep shade of blue and
 2
   as far as you could see in the sea of mountains surrounding
 3
   us, the mountains were crisp and green. It really was a
   spiritual experience. I'd never experienced that before.
             MR. GULICK: Thank you. I have no further
 6
 7
   questions.
              THE COURT: Ouestions?
 8
 9
             MS. COOPER: Your Honor, I just have a few
   questions.
10
              THE COURT: Yes. Fine.
                                       Go ahead.
11
                           CROSS EXAMINATION
12
   BY MS. COOPER:
13
        Mr. Plakanis, you're a member of the National Parks
14
   Conservation Association; is that correct?
15
16
        Correct.
        And that's an advocacy group for environmental causes;
17
   is that a fair statement?
18
19
   Α.
        I think so, yes.
        Now, in connection with a lawsuit that the National
20
   Parks brought against TVA, you submitted an affidavit. Do
21
   you recall that?
22
        Yes.
23
   Α.
        And that affidavit was in September of 2001; is that
24
```

25

correct?

- 1 **A.** That I wouldn't know.
- 2 **Q.** But it was a number of years ago?
- 3 **A.** Number of years ago, correct.
- 4 Q. Now, in your affidavit, I represent to you, you said
- 5 that you had been operating your business for two and a half
- 6 | years at that time. And so that would be consistent with
- 7 2001; is that true?
- 8 A. We started business in 1998.
- 9 MR. GULICK: Your Honor, I wonder -- excuse me.
- 10 Your Honor, I wonder if he might be shown a copy of what he's
- 11 being asked about, if it's a document that he signed.
- 12 **THE COURT:** Yes. You do not have a copy?
- MR. GULICK: We do not have a copy.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. Let's see if we can furnish
- 15 him one.
- 16 BY MS. COOPER:
- 17 Q. All right. Is that your signature, sir, on the bottom?
- 18 A. Not yet.
- 19 Q. On the bottom of the page?
- Is that your signature, sir, on the bottom of the page?
- 21 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 22 Q. And it's dated, January 4, 2001; is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. All right. Now, if you take a look at the top of the
- 25 page, it says: "We are seriously considering moving" --

- 1 | "considering the possibility of moving our business to
- 2 another location." Is that correct?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. And that was because, in 2001, you were concerned about
- 5 the air pollution.
- 6 A. Correct. The effects of the air pollution on us and our
- 7 daughter, correct.
- 8 Q. But now it's 2008 and you're still there, correct?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. Now, in 2007, is it true that your business accommodated
- 11 some 22,000 people, or perhaps slightly more?
- 12 A. I think what that means is up till 2007.
- 13 **Q.** Cumulative.
- 14 A. Cumulatively, that's correct.
- 15 Q. And by 2008, the number was up to 27,000 people; is that
- 16 | correct?
- 17 **A.** That is probably correct. It's in the ballpark.
- 18 MS. COOPER: Thank you very much.
- 19 I have no further questions, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: All right.
- 21 MR. GULICK: No redirect, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir, and that
- 23 | will complete your testimony and you may be excused.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MR. GULICK: Your Honor, we next call to the

```
witness stand Mr. Don Barger.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: All right.
                            DONALD BARGER,
 3
   being duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
 4
 5
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
              MR. GULICK: Your Honor, if I might be allowed to
 6
 7
   approach this witness and show him how to use the screen?
 8
              THE COURT: All right, sir.
                            Thank you. I'm a dummy with this.
 9
              THE WITNESS:
              MR. GULICK: You can draw on the screen.
10
                                                         If you
   see something you want to point out, you can point it out
11
   like that, just touch it. And then to clear one of the last
12
   things, touch there. To clear them all, you touch there.
13
              THE WITNESS: Good.
                                   Thank you very much.
14
   BY MR. GULICK:
15
         Could you please state your full name?
16
        My name is Donald Paul Barger.
17
   Α.
        Where do you live, Mr. Barger?
18
         I live in Norris, Tennessee.
19
   Α.
        And is that near a larger city?
20
   Q.
               It's about 20 miles outside of Knoxville.
21
   Α.
         Yes.
        And in what direction?
22
   Q.
        North of Knoxville.
23
   Α.
        How long have you lived there?
24
25
         I've lived there since 1992.
   Α.
```

- 1 Q. What is your occupation, Mr. Barger?
- 2 **A.** I am the Southeast Regional Director of the National
- 3 Parks Conservation Association.
- 4 Q. Tell us what the National Parks Conservation Association
- 5 is, please.
- 6 A. Yeah. The National Park's Conservation Association, or
- 7 NPCA, was created in 1919, three years after the creation of
- 8 the National Park Service. It is an advocacy organization.
- 9 Our mission is to preserve and enhance America's national
- 10 parks for present and future generations. We do advocacy
- 11 specifically aimed at mobilizing public support for the
- 12 protection of our national parks.
- 13 Q. Within the scope of the region that you are responsible
- 14 | for for the association, what are some of the major national
- 15 parks that come to mind?
- 16 A. Well, certainly, the Great Smoky Mountains National
- 17 Park. There are, in the southeast region, about 50 or so
- 18 units of the National Park System that fall under our sort of
- 19 area of jurisdiction, within the Southeast Regional Office.
- 20 It's everything from Hot Springs National Park to Mammoth
- 21 | Cave National Park to -- actually, about 60 percent of the
- 22 units of the National Park System are historic sites. So as
- 23 | well as national parks, there are a lot of historic parks,
- 24 battlefields, and other units managed by the National Park
- 25 Service.

- 1 Q. Are there any Class I areas, as that's defined under the
- 2 Clean Air Act, within your jurisdiction, if you will?
- 3 A. Yes, there are. There are two Class I areas in my
- 4 region that are managed by the National Park Service.
- 5 | They're Great Smoky Mountains National Park and Mammoth Cave
- 6 National Park.
- 7 Q. Where is Mammoth Cave National Park?
- 8 A. It's in western Kentucky.
- 9 Q. And to your knowledge, is the Applachian National Scenic
- 10 Trail a unit of the National Park Service?
- 11 A. Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. Tell us a little bit about what the National Park
- 13 | Service is.
- 14 A. Well, three years before the creation of NPCA, in 1916,
- 15 | Congress created and President Woodrow Wilson signed the
- 16 Organic Act of the National Park System. It was the first of
- 17 its kind in the world. It's essentially our nation's first
- 18 commitment to sustainability, the first time that we ever
- 19 said that some areas were so special they needed to last
- 20 | forever and they needed to belong to everybody.
- 21 The mission statement that Congress put into the Organic
- 22 Act for the National Park Service is to conserve the scenery
- 23 and the natural and historic objects and the wildlife therein
- 24 and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner
- 25 and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the

- 1 enjoyment of future generations.
- 2 | Q. And what is the relationship, if any, between the
- 3 National Parks Conservation Association and the National Park
- 4 Service?
- 5 A. There is no formal affiliation between -- we are a
- 6 non-governmental organization.
- 7 Q. When you say "we," you mean?
- 8 A. NPCA is a non-governmental organization.
- 9 Several years ago I took a reporter hiking in the
- 10 Smokies, and he kind of put a moniker on us that stuck. He
- 11 said that NPCA was the Park Service's chief advocate and
- 12 critic. As a public advocate, our role is to advocate for
- 13 the preservation and protection of the parks and not the
- 14 National Park Service.
- So, you know, our job is to try to determine what issues
- 16 are affecting the national parks, you know, and help
- 17 determine their long-term viability to be protected and
- 18 unimpaired for future generations and to advocate for those
- 19 measures of protection.
- 20 Q. So was his description accurate?
- 21 A. I would say so.
- 22 | Q. I'd like to show you what has been marked -- in fact,
- 23 | it's already been admitted -- as Plaintiff's Exhibit 174.
- 24 MR. GULICK: Your Honor, I believe this particular
- 25 document has already been admitted into evidence.

1 THE COURT: All right. 2 MR. GULICK: Your Honor, actually, this particular document is rather faint on this screen, and I'd like to --3 Your Honor, you may find that it's in the -- there is a -you received a book from Lyle Chinkin. It was a Lyle Chinkin binder. And there's probably a better copy of it available 7 there to see than is on the screen. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 8 MR. GULICK: Sometimes this technology fails us, 9 Your Honor, and I wonder if I might approach the witness and 10 give him a better copy of this as well. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MR. GULICK: May I approach the witness, Your 13 Honor? 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 BY MR. GULICK: 16 Before we look at this document, Mr. Barger, I was 17 wondering if you could just briefly outline for us what 18 19 concerns you may have. MR. GULICK: At least put it up on the screen so he 20 21 can illustrate what he's talking about, looking at the document itself. 22 BY MR. GULICK: 23 I'll ask you, what concerns does the National Parks 24

Conservation Association have, if any, with regard to air

- 1 quality in the national parks?
- 2 A. Well, you know, I began my job in 1992, so I've been
- 3 doing this for 16 years, and very shortly after coming into
- 4 the job and beginning to explore, I learned that air
- 5 pollution was one of the most dramatic problems affecting
- 6 Great Smoky Mountains National Park and, in fact, a lot of
- 7 the other parks in the region. Of the 391 units of the
- 8 National Park System, 150 of those are in areas that are
- 9 designated as nonattainment for one or more pollutants. So
- 10 park visitors are obviously being exposed to high levels of
- 11 pollution in lots of places throughout the system.
- 12 In particular, with Great Smoky Mountains National Park
- 13 and Mammoth Cave National Park, since they are Class I areas
- 14 in my region, I began to look at what the impacts were and
- 15 what the levels of protection are supposed to be of those
- 16 parks.
- 17 Three of the principal issues that are of great concern
- 18 to NPCA are visibility, ozone, and acid deposition, among
- 19 others.
- 20 Q. Now, this Exhibit 174 that you have before you is a --
- 21 is this a document of the National Park Service itself?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. Are you familiar with this document?
- 24 A. Yes, I am.
- 25 Q. Does it address the issues that you've just talked

1 about?

- A. I believe so, yes. Yes, it does.
- 3 Q. Could you just point out in this document where those
- 4 things are discussed? Just take them one at a time, if you
- 5 would.
- 6 \ A. All right. Down at the -- well, at the bottom of the
- 7 | first column on the first page, shrinking views and particle
- 8 pollution. This is essentially the visibility aspect of
- 9 this. I think it's -- from our perspective, it's notable
- 10 that when Congress created the National Park System, the
- 11 first thing that they said in its purpose is to conserve the
- 12 scenery. That was the number one mandate from Congress in
- 13 | creating the National Park System.
- 14 MS. COOPER: Your Honor, at this point I would like
- 15 to interpose an objection to the cumulative nature of this.
- 16 | This particular exhibit has been testified to by both
- 17 Mr. Chinkin and Mr. Sommerville, and it's only a few pages
- 18 long. I think this is cumulative testimony.
- 19 MR. GULICK: I don't believe Mr. -- Your Honor, I
- 20 don't believe that Mr. Sommerville talked about it. However,
- 21 Mr. Chinkin is not a member of the National Parks
- 22 Conservation Association, and this is an association that is,
- 23 as you've heard already, is devoted to addressing issues in
- 24 the national parks. And his testimony is not going to be
- 25 | very long, so I believe --

```
THE COURT: Well, if you have something new to add,
 1
   that will be fine. Otherwise, let's move along rather
 2
   quickly.
 3
             MR. GULICK: We'll do that, Your Honor.
 4
   BY MR. GULICK:
 5
        Would you just touch on a few points as to each of those
 6
              Then we'll go to the next --
   concerns?
        All right. Well, during my lifetime, visibility in the
 8
   Southern Appalachians has decreased 40 percent in the winter
   and 80 percent in the summer, and the National Park Service
10
   has done a survey which indicates that the number one reason
11
   people come to the parks is to see the scenic views.
12
   the standpoint of visitor experience, again, the Organic Act
13
   of the Park Service, specifically, is the central mission
14
   that my organization is also concerned about protecting.
15
                                                               The
   annual average visibility in the Smokies right now is
16
   33 miles and it should be 113. That's natural visibility.
17
   So that particle pollution that produces the haze --
18
             MS. COOPER: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
19
   this testimony. There's lack of foundation, and also, it's
20
21
   cumulative.
22
              THE COURT: Overruled.
              THE WITNESS:
                            Okay.
                                   Continue?
23
             MR. GULICK:
24
                           Yes.
25
              THE COURT:
                          Yes, you may proceed.
```

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 The principal constituent of the particulate matter -- I'm sure you've probably had testimony about the 3 health effects on that that I won't go into -- is sulfates. Sulfates are responsible for over 80 percent of the visibility impairment in the parks, and three quarters of 7 those sulfates come from coal-fired plants. I believe I'll sustain the objection 8 THE COURT: now as to the last answer there --10 MR. GULICK: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: -- since that isn't an area in which an 11 12 average layman can testify. MR. GULICK: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 BY MR. GULICK: 14 I want to draw your attention, if you will, to 15 Plaintiff's Exhibit 276. 16 17 MR. GULICK: Your Honor, this is a document that's already been received into evidence. However, I'm going to 18 draw Mr. Barger's attention to a matter that was not 19 addressed in the testimony of any other witness. 20 21 THE COURT: All right. BY MR. GULICK: 22 I'd like to draw your attention, Mr. Barger, to page --23 24 MR. GULICK: It's Bates stamped No. 2358, Your 25 It's also page 24 of the electronic copy that is now Honor.

- 1 appearing on your screen.
- 2 BY MR. GULICK:
- 3 Q. In particular, Mr. Barger, I want to draw your attention
- 4 to the bar chart at the top of this document.
- 5 MS. COOPER: Your Honor, I have to object to this.
- 6 We saw this bar chart at some length in the testimony of
- 7 Mr. Sommerville.
- 8 MR. GULICK: Your Honor, I'm going to ask him about
- 9 something that was not addressed by Mr. Sommerville with
- 10 respect to this bar chart.
- 11 **THE COURT:** Objection overruled. Go ahead.
- 12 BY MR. GULICK:
- 13 \ Q. You indicated before that Mammoth Cave was one of the
- 14 Class I areas in Kentucky that was of concern to your
- 15 association?
- 16 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 17 Q. Is there any manner touching upon Mammoth Cave,
- 18 Kentucky, that is addressed in this bar chart?
- 19 A. Yes, absolutely. The light extinction. It's over at
- 20 the far left of the chart, being the highest light
- 21 extinction. In fact, the resource we've seen, it is, in
- 22 | fact, the haziest of the Class I national parks that are
- 23 managed by the National Park Service, meaning it has the
- 24 highest level of particle pollution.
- 25 Q. And that's what this bar chart shows?

- 1 A. Yes, it does.
- 2 Q. Let me ask you a question, since I've never been there,
- 3 Mr. Barger. Mammoth Cave sounds like a cave. Is visibility
- 4 actually of concern?
- 5 A. Mammoth Cave is a cave. It's also 52,000 acres of
- 6 surface, and the Park Service determined that at least
- 7 | 60 percent, or the majority of people who visit Mammoth never
- 8 | go underground. There are 70 miles of trails. There are
- 9 scenic overlooks of the Green River. Most of the users of
- 10 the park, in fact, use the surface, and they have one of the
- 11 | National Park Service visibility cameras at an overlook of
- 12 the Green River.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- Mr. Barger, to your knowledge, are there TVA coal-fired
- 15 power plants in Kentucky?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. And there are coal-fired plants in western Tennessee --
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. -- that belong to TVA?
- 20 A. Yes, there are.
- 21 | Q. I'd like to draw your attention, Mr. Barger, to
- 22 | Plaintiff's Exhibit 146. It's already been received in
- 23 | evidence. And, specifically, Mr. Barger, I was wondering if
- 24 you could identify for us where on this particular
- 25 document -- if you can identify on this document --

```
MR. GULICK: Your Honor, have you found that
 1
 2
   exhibit?
              THE COURT: Yes.
 3
   BY MR. GULICK:
 4
        If you could identify on the screen, Mr. Barger, the
 5
   location of Mammoth Cave.
 7
        Approximately. It would be in western Kentucky, right
   kind of south of that (indicating).
        Where you've marked it on the screen?
        Just a minute. I'll try it again. It's going a little
10
   bit north of where I'm trying to poke, but let me see if I
11
   can -- okay. That's pretty close. (Indicating.)
12
        So the arrow is pointed to where it actually is?
13
        Yeah, the arrow -- the tip of the arrow. It may be a
14
   little bit north of there. Right in that area.
15
16
        That's the location of the Mammoth Cave?
   Q.
17
   Α.
        Yes.
18
   Q. I believe -- thank you.
        I'd like to now draw your attention to Plaintiff's
19
   Exhibit 148. And, specifically, there's a second page,
20
21
   closeup of this document. And that's --
22
             MR. GULICK: Your Honor, have you found that?
             THE COURT: Yes.
23
   BY MR. GULICK:
24
25
        Mr. Barger, where do you live? Can you show us where
```

- 1 you live?
- 2 A. If I can get this to do it. I'm in the lower right-hand
- 3 corner of that, in the upper end of Anderson County. Right
- 4 in the edge of that black box is the city of Norris.
- 5 Q. And that's where your home is?
- 6 A. That's my home.
- 7 Q. Could you show us on this particular map -- I apologize.
- 8 Can you show us on this map again the location of Mammoth
- 9 Cave?
- 10 A. Well, I'm going -- it's going to be approximate, but
- 11 it's -- you come out of Nashville and go up, so it would be
- 12 right up here. That's a little bit north of -- yes,
- 13 somewhere up in -- it's probably a little further, but it's
- 14 difficult for me to get this precisely, but up in western
- 15 | Kentucky.
- 16 | Q. And is the area in which you live a nonattainment
- 17 | county?
- 18 A. Yes. It's in -- it's nonattainment for ozone and
- 19 particulate matter.
- 20 | Q. And does that affect your personal life?
- 21 A. Yes, it does. The city of Norris is actually a
- 22 wonderful place to live. It was built by the Tennessee
- 23 | Valley Authority in the 1930s. It was a planned community.
- 24 And the plan still works in community life. And one of the
- 25 things that TVA did was set aside the Norris watershed, which

- is our source of water, and it's also a recreational area that all of the residents of Norris use regularly and very
- 3 | much appreciate.

the area.

and Recreational Area.

14

- 4 Q. And does it -- but does air pollution affect your life 5 there?
- Well, absolutely. I mean, today, we are again in 6 exceedance for both ozone and particulate matter. Yesterday 7 evening, it was difficult even to get out. 8 I had two separate people comment to me, one person with asthma who said she had been using her inhaler all day, and another 10 person, perfectly healthy, said the air just felt thick. 11 And on those kinds of days, it's very difficult to get out and 12 enjoy the outdoors. That is the sort of nature and basis for 13
- 15 Q. Do you use trails in the area that you live?
- 16 A. Yes, I do. In addition to the Norris watershed, I hike
  17 extensively in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park, along
  18 sections of the Applachian Trail, the Big South Fork River
- 20 Q. Let's show you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit
- 21 149. And can you again show us where it is that you live?
- 22 **A.** Yeah. Up here in the very tip of Anderson County, where
  23 you see the green, that's probably Norris Dam, or Norris Dam
- 24 State Park, and that's very close to the city of Norris.
- 25 Q. Now, you just indicated that you hike on the Applachian

- 1 Trail.
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. What portions of the Applachian Trail have you hiked on?
- 4 A. I've done about two-thirds of the Applachian Trail, from
- 5 Mount Rogers, Virginia, to its southern terminus in Georgia.
- 6 Q. Could you just sort of indicate those points on the
- 7 | screen?
- 8 A. Yes. Mount Rogers, Virginia, is right there, and then
- 9 the southern terminus of the Applachian Trail is here.
- 10 Q. Most of that length is where?
- 11 A. It runs along the Tennessee/North Carolina border for a
- 12 long ways, and then goes into Tennessee on its northern trek,
- 13 up to Damascus, Virginia, across North Carolina, and into
- 14 Georgia on its southern end.
- 15 | Q. Finally, if you could take a look at Plaintiff's Exhibit
- 16 No. 156.
- Now, again, I'd like to ask you if you can identify
- 18 where on this map that you live.
- 19 A. Right there (indicating).
- 20 Q. Do you know whether or not the county in which you live
- 21 has been in nonattainment for ozone?
- 22 A. Yes, it has.
- 23 Q. And do you hike in the area of your town?
- 24 **A.** Yes. That's -- the Norris watershed is essentially
- 25 attached to downtown. I can walk out of my driveway and walk

```
1 about a quarter of a mile and I'm in the Norris watershed.
```

- Q. As a result of air pollution, have you had to -- has that affected your walking schedule outside?
- A. It does. At the valley level, the ozone levels are much worse in the afternoon, which is different than the mechanism in the mountains. So, you know, you try to get exercise of that nature early in the day if possible during the summer

Sometimes that's not possible.

- 9 Q. When you came into the courtroom, you handed me a
  10 document, and I'm going to ask you some questions about that;
  11 but I only have one copy of this so I'm going to show it to
  12 counsel for TVA, and then I'm going to have to put it up on
  13 this machine, which I've never done.
  - **A.** Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

2

3

- MR. GULICK: Your Honor, this is a document that was just handed me by the witness when he came in and I only have one copy. I'll allow counsel for the defendant to view it before I show it to Your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. If you can put it up so we can all view it at the same time, and then provide counsel a copy --
  - MR. GULICK: We will do that, Your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: -- so they will have it to work with.
- MR. GULICK: May I go to the overhead viewer?

25

## BY MR. GULICK:

- 2 Q. What I'm showing you, is this the document that you
- 3 handed to me when you came in the courtroom this morning?
- 4 A. Yes, it is.
- 5 Q. And can you tell us what this document is and how it --
- 6 when it came into your possession and how it came into your
- 7 | possession?
- 8 A. It's a copy of an e-mail. I'm on a distribution list
- 9 for Great Smoky Mountains National Park for air quality
- 10 | alerts, and this is an alert that the National Park Service
- 11 has issued to its employees, and also to partners and other
- 12 people that they think may be in the park, of both an ozone
- 13 and particle pollution advisory. This was for yesterday. I
- 14 checked the website this morning and we're in violation of
- 15 the particle pollution again, so I'm assuming there may be
- 16 another one today. The day before, they had a similar one,
- 17 but it was just for ozone.
- 18 And what it basically says is they are encouraging their
- 19 staff to refrain from strenuous or prolonged physical outdoor
- 20 activities, don't do anything outside where you'd have to
- 21 breathe hard.
- 22 Q. And this indicates that it's from Jim Renfro. Do you
- 23 | know who Jim Renfro is?
- 24 | A. Yes, I do. He's the Air Resource Specialist for Great
- 25 | Smoky Mountains National Park.

- MR. GULICK: Your Honor, I've put an exhibit

  2 sticker on this which marks it as Plaintiff's Exhibit 488.
- THE COURT: 488? All right.
- 4 MR. GULICK: 488, Your Honor. Thank you.
- Your Honor, at the next break we will make copies of this document for the Court and for defendant's counsel.
- 7 THE COURT: All right.
- 8 BY MR. GULICK:
- 9 Q. Mr. Barger, you indicated that you started with the
- 10 National Parks Conservation Association in 1992, I believe
- 11 you said.
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Were you involved with the effort known as Southern
- 14 Appalachian Mountain Initiative?
- 15 A. Yeah, SAMI. Yes, I was. I represented NPCA in the SAMI
- 16 process for the ten years of its existence.
- 17 Q. And did you do that as a representative of the National
- 18 | Parks Conservation Association?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Mr. Barger, you were telling me the other day about this
- 21 being a consensus process.
- 22 A. Yes, the SAMI process.
- 23 Q. I'm wondering if you could describe for the Court
- 24 briefly your view about that as a consensus process.
- 25 A. Yeah. I guess "agonizing" is the first word I would

- It was both its strength and its weakness in many ways. 1 Being a consensus process of states, federal agencies, 2 utilities, tourism, conservation organizations, the 3 likelihood that we would come to a consensus of action at the end of the process was pretty slim. 5 On the strength side, I think, from my view, what was 6 7 really important about the process was the ongoing consensus that had to happen at every step of the way. As we were 8 going through the examination of the material that was presented to us, there was an opportunity at every step from 10 a consensus standpoint. Every fact, every data set, every 11 computer model, every assumption that went into every 12 computer model, at every point, all the participants had an 13 opportunity to say, I've got something better, you know, this 14 is not the best, so that, at each step, as we moved forward, 15 we were sure that everyone felt like that was the best 16 information moving into the integrated assessment which 17 produced the information that's in the SAMI document. 18 And that included -- that consensus process included 19
- your association organization? 20
- 21 Α. Yes, it.
- And it included the State of North Carolina? 22
- Yes, it did. 23 Α.
- And it included the Tennessee Valley Authority? 24
- 25 Yes, it did. Α.

```
From the perspective of the National Parks Conservation
 1
   Association, Mr. Barger, is the air in Tennessee and Kentucky
 2
   and North Carolina as free of pollution as it needs to be?
 3
                       The National Park Service has -- I was
 4
        No, it's not.
   actually here in court on the first day of this trial, and
 5
   Mr. Jackson from the Forest Service talked about sulfate
 7
   deposition in the national forest. I can't remember which
   one. But he said that, I remember it being kilograms per
 8
   hector per year, meaning relatively like pounds per acre per
   year, and needed to be around 3 to 5. In Great Smoky
10
   Mountains National Park, they're looking at about 4.5 --
11
   between 4 and 5 as being what they call a target load and
12
   where we really began to see recovery of the systems; and
13
   nitrogen deposition in the park currently is at 33 kilograms
14
15
   per hector per year, so that would be about an 85 percent
   reduction in nitrogen deposition that would be needed.
16
17
        So what that tells me is that we've really got a long
18
   way to go.
                          Thank you. No further questions.
19
             MR. GULICK:
             MS. COOPER: We have no questions, Your Honor.
20
21
              THE COURT: All right. That will complete your
22
   testimony, and you may be excused.
23
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
24
             MR. GULICK: Thank you, Your Honor.
25
              THE COURT:
                          All right. I think this is a good
```

```
point to take our midmorning break. So we'll take a
 1
 2
   15-minute break.
              (Recess.)
 3
              THE COURT: All right. Call your next witness.
 4
             MR. GULICK: Your Honor, I forgot to move into
 5
   evidence the one new document, Exhibit 488, which is the
 7
   e-mail Mr. Barger testified about which I showed from the
 8
   projector.
              THE COURT: All right. Let that be admitted.
 9
                                                             488.
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit 488 received.)
10
             MR. GOODSTEIN: North Carolina's next witness, Your
11
   Honor, is John Molenar.
12
              THE COURT: All right.
13
                            JOHN MOLENAR,
14
   being duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
15
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
16
17
             MS. GOODSTEIN: If I may approach, Your Honor.
                                                               We
   have a set of Mr. Molenar's exhibits for the Court.
18
19
              THE COURT: All right, sir.
   BY MR. GOODSTEIN:
20
21
         Good morning, Mr. Molenar. Can you state your full name
22
   for the record, please?
        John Victor Molenar.
23
   Α.
        And how are you currently employed, Mr. Molenar?
24
25
         I work for Air Resource Specialists, Inc., an
```

- 1 environmental consulting firm located in Fort Collins,
- 2 Colorado.
- 3 Q. What does Air Resource Specialists do?
- 4 A. We do all phases of atmospheric monitoring and modeling
- 5 for federal agencies, state agencies and private industry.
- 6 **Q.** And what are your responsibilities there?
- 7 A. I'm vice president of the company. My major
- 8 responsibilities are in the field of atmospheric visibility.
- 9 Primary responsibilities are in the form of research and
- 10 development for visibility and atmospheric optical
- 11 measurements, modeling, data interpretation.
- 12 Q. And how are you involved in this case?
- 13 A. I was hired by North Carolina Department of Justice to
- 14 review results of the Sonoma Technology modeling for
- 15 additional controls on TVA coal-fired power plants for
- 16 visibility effects.
- 17 Q. And were you asked to generate some images that show the
- 18 effects and improvements from the emissions reductions sought
- 19 by North Carolina in this case?
- 20 A. Yes. STI, in their report, used the software packages I
- 21 developed to generate imagery. North Carolina asked me to
- 22 generate images for other Class I areas in the region.
- 23 | Q. And what is your area of expertise, Mr. Molenar?
- 24 **A.** I have a master's degree in atmospheric physics. My
- 25 major research field is in visibility and atmospheric optical

- 1 | measurements.
- 2 Q. The first exhibit in your folder should be Plaintiff's
- 3 Exhibit 431 for identification. Will you take a look at
- 4 that, please.
- Is this a copy of your CV?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
- 7 Q. So your education is summarized on page 2 of Plaintiff's
- 8 Exhibit 431.
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. We offer 431 into evidence at this time, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Let it be admitted.
- 12 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 431 received.)
- 13 BY MR. GOODSTEIN:
- 14 Q. Can you describe for us, Mr. Molenar, a summary of your
- 15 educational experience, what you studied, and then summarize
- 16 your professional experience for us?
- 17 A. Yes. In 1973, when I went to school at Northern Arizona
- 18 University, I got involved in some of the early research in
- 19 visibility and atmospheric optical measurements.
- 20 When I graduated from there, I went to the University of
- 21 Reno (inaudible)...
- 22 | Q. Can if you could slow down a little bit, Mr. Molenar?
- 23 | A. University of Reno, Desert Research Institute, where I
- 24 | got my master's degree in atmospheric physics.
- 25 After that, I was hired by the John Muir Institute,

which is a non-profit organization which funneled research 1 2 grants from university professors working in the field of environmental sciences. In that capacity there, with the 3 Visibility Research Center in Las Vegas, Nevada, we conducted the first perception studies for the National Park Service 5 and also began to develop a computer imaging code to visualize air quality. In 1982, we moved to Fort Collins, Colorado, the Visibility Research Center did. 8 I started my own business in 1983, Air Resource 9 Specialists, Inc., which we bid on a contract with the 10 National Park Service to do their optical imaging. 11 that time, we've expanded out do all the ambient air quality 12 ozone monitoring for the National Park Service. We also do 13 work for the U.S. Forest Service and other departments of 14 the federal government, the states of Colorado, Nevada, 15 Arizona and Wyoming. We also work with private industry, and 16 17 we do atmospheric modeling, monitoring, research data interpretation. 18 We've also been involved in the Grand Canyon Visibility 19 Transport Commission, which was the first regional planning 20 21 organization which was formed in the mid 1990s, came up with 22 the first recommendations to EPA for the Regional Haze Rule. 23 I was personally involved on a number of the technical 24 committees and also a member of the Public Advisory 25 Committee, which is the committee that came to consensus on

their final report.

Since then, the regional planning organizations have been formed in the United States. Those are groups of states which have to address visibility impacts, and they've also expanded that out to address other ambient air quality impacts.

I, personally, and my company has, worked with all the regional planning organizations: SAMI, VISTAS, RAP, the Northeastern Regional Planning Organization, the Central Regional Planning Organization, called CENRAP, and the Midwest Region, called MRAP. I continued working with National Park Service and had those contracts for 26 years.

I belong to a number of professional organizations: Air and Waste Management Association; Society for Photographic -- can't remember -- excuse me -- the Society for Imaging Science and Technology; International Society of Optical Engineering.

- Q. Can you describe for us, Mr. Molenar, the visibility monitoring program that your firm and yourself operate for the National Park Service?
- A. Yes. The national program has got three phases. One of them is called aerosol monitoring, which is operated by the University of California-Davis. The other two are what we call optical monitoring and C monitoring, or photographic monitoring. My company runs and operates the optical

- monitoring and C-monitoring programs for National Park

  Service and U.S. Forest Service. That is a nationwide

  program making measurements in national parks and wilderness
- Q. Can you describe for us how those monitoring stations work, what they're comprised of, and how they record visibility effects?

areas.

15

16

17

18

19

20

A. Yes. The C-monitoring originally started with 35

millimeter cameras, which were phased out to digital cameras.

Those are scenic vistas areas and in a number of Class I

areas. Currently, there are over 100 cameras in operation.

That data, the old data, was on 35 millimeter slides that we have and stored in archive, and we have over a million slides

from Class I areas across the United States.

We have digital cameras, which take pictures every 15 minutes. Those images are archived or uploaded to websites for each of the areas that have one. National Park Service operates 20 some and U.S. Forest Service operates about 50, I think, and a number of the regional planning organizations operate their own.

- 21 Q. And does your firm do the monitoring of visibility at 22 the Great Smoky Mountains National Park?
- A. Yes, we do. We operate the Look Rock visibility site for the National Park Service, Great Smoky Mountains.
- The additional monitoring, besides the C-monitoring, is

the optical monitoring, and that is specialized 1 instrumentation that measures how clear the atmosphere is, 2 and measures what's called the scattering coefficient with an 3 instrument called nephelometer. And those operate in Class I areas throughout the United States and also urban areas. And can you describe for us the database of photographs 6 that your firm maintains for the National Park Service and other Federal Land Managers? The original database began in early the 1980s with 35-millimeter slides. Those were phased out 10 approximately 1998 to 2000 and we went to digital cameras. 11 The early slides have all been archived and stored in a 12 secure area in Fort Collins, Colorado. On those slides -- we 13 have examined all those slides and created what we call a 14 frequency distribution of visibility in Class I areas. 15 have been digitized and are available on the National Park 16 Service website. Also we've done that for the U.S. Forest 17 Service. 18 Since then, we've operated digital cameras which take 19 much more frequent images and are easier to archive and 20 21 store. 22 And you can show the improvements to visibility that 23 will result at certain locations based on various air 24 dispersion model changes and emissions in the region?

For the last 25 years or so, we've been

25

A.

Yes.

developing -- I have been developing what we call visual air 1 2 quality simulation packages. The concept of visibility is relatively complex and difficult to just present to lay 3 people and decision makers, all these numbers we talk about, and so we decided to, since visibility is a visual 5 experience, to develop software packages that allow us to visualize changes in air quality due to various changes and concentrations of atmospheric aerosols and gases. 8 what I used to generate the images which will be seen. Has this become a regular, generally accepted approach 10 to looking at changes in visual air quality resulting from 11 potential air pollution control programs? 12 Yes, it has. The full modeling package is quite complex 13 and difficult to use, so what was -- what I wrote and 14 15 developed in 1995 was a package called WinHaze. WinHaze is an easy to use desktop application which synthesizes all 16 these various models and allows relatively untrained users to 17 generate imagery with inputs of various types of aerosols or 18 gases to see what the effect would be. 19 These packages have been developed under contracts with 20 21 the National Park Service, and we have used these packages 22 in -- well, all the regional planning organizations have used 23 this particular computer imaging model to generate imagery 24 for all their Class I areas under their advising and control. 25 Okay.

- 1 Q. Can you give us some examples of the regional planning
- 2 organizations that have used your WinHaze program to generate
- 3 images of visibility changes resulting from potential air
- 4 quality programs?
- 5 **A.** Yes. The Grand Canyon Visible Transport Commission
- 6 began this, but it really initiated with SAMI in the late
- 7 1990s. The SAMI report contains images of the Great Smoky
- 8 | Mountains. VISTAS currently has on their website the
- 9 archived images created with WinHaze showing the baseline
- 10 2000 to 2004 air quality and the projected improvement in
- 11 2018. Those images are available for the public to download
- 12 and review. Those are created with WinHaze, specifically for
- 13 VISTAS.
- 14 Q. Has the methodology underlying WinHaze been peer
- 15 reviewed and published?
- 16  $\mid$  A. The basic algorithms have been published in two papers I
- 17 was an author with, and also about four or five other papers
- 18 where we researched and looked at basic algorithms and the
- 19 concept behind generating visual air quality using visual air
- 20 transfer models.
- 21 Q. Can you show us on your CV, on page two, where these
- 22 | publications are that you are an author on that documented
- 23 the methodology underlying WinHaze? I think it might be --
- 24 A. Next page.
- 25 Q. Page three, I'm sorry. Page 3 of Plaintiff's Exhibit

1 431.

A. These publications here would be -- this one right here was the first paper we published. We published another paper in 1994, which is this one right here. Those are two papers I've been an author on, with the photographic simulation techniques.

There are other references, I believe, in my submitted documents which have been published by Cal Tech, California Institute of Technology, Los Alamos Laboratories, where we originally developed these packages.

- Q. Okay. And what are some of the projects that you worked on in the field of atmospheric optics and visibility? Maybe just give us a summary of the types of projects that you worked on.
- 15 A. The major ones have been with the National Park Service

  16 and the IMPROVE program. The IMPROVE program is the

  17 inter-agency monitoring of protected visual environments. It

  18 is a national program that monitors all Class I areas in the

  19 United States. We are the optical monitoring contractor to

  20 that program, and have been since IMPROVE started in 1988.

In addition to that, we have operated -- we operate the State of the Wyoming's visibility monitoring network, the State of Arizona's visibility monitoring network. We operate now -- just recently, the State of Colorado has, through their county system, implemented visibility monitoring

networks. 1

3

5

2 We also worked with the Electric Power Research Institute, Shell Oil and EnCana Oil and Gas exploration on their development of well and gas properties in western United States.

- In addition to the several publications that we just 6 7 spoke about, do you have other publications in the field of atmospheric physics, and are they listed in your CV? 8
- There are several publications and reports and 9 Α. Yes. presentations at conferences. 10
- What do you do to keep up in your field? 11
- Well, I'm currently an active researcher in development 12 of the computer imaging software and maintaining that, and 13 data analysis interpretation. Work closely with the IMPROVE 14 program and all these other agencies we work with in 15 developing new monitoring technology and data interpretation 16 techniques. 17
- MR. GOODSTEIN: At this point, Your Honor, we 18 tender Mr. Molenar as an expert in air pollution effects on 19 visibility. 20
- 21 THE COURT: Let the record show that the Court so holds. 22
- BY MR. GOODSTEIN: 23
- Mr. Molenar, have you authored a number of reports in 24
- 25 this matter, expert reports?

They should be at the back of your binder.

- A. Yes, sir, I have. Yes.
- 3 Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to
- 4 Plaintiff's Exhibit 472, 473, and 473A, and take a minute to
- 5 identify those and let us know if those are true and correct
- 6 copies of your expert disclosure reports in this case.
- 7 **A.** Yes, they are.

- 8 MR. GOODSTEIN: Your Honor, we offer 472, 473 and
- 9 473A into evidence.
- 10 **THE COURT:** Let those be admitted.
- 11 (Plaintiff's Exhibits 472, 473 and 473A
- 12 received.)
- 13 BY MR. GOODSTEIN:
- 14 Q. Mr. Molenar, so have you reached come conclusions on
- 15 improvements to visual air quality that would result from TVA
- 16 reducing their emissions as requested by North Carolina in
- 17 this case?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- 19 Q. And can you give us a summary of those conclusions,
- 20 please?
- 21 A. Yes. When I reviewed the results of STI's modeling and
- 22 the frequency of occurrence of changes in visibility and the
- 23 maximum changes modeled in each Class I one area, I concluded
- 24 that these were quite dramatic and quite significant
- 25 | frequencies of occurrence in changes in visibility with those

- 1 additional controls.
- 2 Q. And you looked specifically at some of the Class I areas
- 3 in the region?
- 4 A. Yes. That would be Great Smoky Mountains, Shining Rock,
- 5 Linville Gorge, Joyce-Kilmer Slickrock, and also Shenandoah
- 6 National Park, which is a bit farther downwind.
- 7 Q. What are Class I areas?
- 8 A. Class I areas were mandated in the 1977 Clean Air Act
- 9 amendments. They are national parks greater than 5,000 acres
- 10 and U.S. wilderness areas greater than 6,000 acres, I
- 11 believe.
- In 1977, there was 165 identified; 163 of them became
- 13 Class I areas. Two decided that they were not -- visibility
- 14 was not important. And those are mandatory.
- 15 Since then, tribes and states can designate additional
- 16 areas as Class I areas. Those areas receive the maximum
- 17 protection under the Clean Air Act, specifically to remedy
- 18 any existing visibility impairment and to maintain the very
- 19 good visibility on the cleanest days.
- 20 Q. Before we look at your results, Mr. Molenar, we wanted
- 21 to just get an overview of how regional haze and visibility
- 22 impairment occurs.
- 23 MR. GOODSTEIN: And with the Court's permission,
- 24 | Your Honor, if I could have Mr. Molenar approach the easel.
- Plaintiff's Exhibit 288 is on the easel. It should

also be in your book behind that number tab. And I think that would help Mr. Molenar explain the basic processes of visibility impairment.

THE COURT: You may step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. GOODSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE WITNESS: Visibility is an interesting optical phenomenon. Of all the atmospheric air pollution effects, it's the one we are most intimate with as people living on this planet.

Every morning when we wake up, we see various vistas, and if we live in an area with multiple mountain ridges, we can make instantaneous judgments about the air quality. It's really the only air pollution indicator that we have intimate knowledge of.

So when we sit up there -- what this schematic is is a very simplified version of what we see when we're out there. We're an observer standing somewhere, either at our home or on a mountain looking out at a scenic vista, and if we're lucky, we have a number of distant targets out there that we can make judgments on.

What happens is air pollution, in the form of gases, like SO2, are emitted from industrial facilities and coal-fired generating plants, or there are nitrogen oxides emitted from automobiles, or we have organics emitted from

wildfires and other industrial processes. Those all get transported downwind, and those gases convert into what we call secondary aerosols.

Primary aerosols are also emitted from industrial facilities and wind-blown dust. But in the eastern United States, sulfates are the biggest contributor to our visibility impairment.

As that mass of pollution gets transported, it ends up impacting our scenic view. What we have out here is some distant target that we're looking at that has color contrast, various lighting characteristics. That image gets transmitted through the atmosphere, and as it gets transmitted through the atmosphere, that image-forming light gets removed from the atmosphere by either being scattered out, redirected in different directions, or absorbed by absorbing particles. Absorbing particles are not a great concern in the eastern United States. In the western United States they are.

The other thing that happens is that the air itself, between the observer and the target, is illuminated. It gets illuminated by the sun; it gets illuminated by light reflecting off the ground; it gets illuminated by light interacting with clouds. And that interacts with these aerosol particles and it gets redirected into your eye. That's a veiling illumination we call path radiance.

I think the easiest way to explain that is if you're driving at night and it's a fog, you put on your high beams, you lose your visibility because that light gets re-scattered into your eyes. And so visibility is just two effects. It's a removal of light from a target and the light scattered into your eyes by the atmosphere itself.

And what we do in visualization is we run atmosphere radiated transfer models which follow the light photons and generate the effects of the air pollution. It's a very brief description.

Q. Thank you, Mr. Molenar.

- You have a figure in your report which is now marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 289 for identification, and could you identify that and explain to us what it shows?
- A. Yes. This is a schematic of some of that process I was discussing there.

SO2 is emitted by combustion of fossil fuels that have sulfur in them. Coal is the biggest contributor of sulfur dioxide emissions. Sulfur dioxide is an invisible gas. When it gets into the atmosphere, it reacts and converts into a particle, usually an ammonium sulfate particle, and as the particles grow, they get to a size they can interact with light.

Sulfur dioxide also has an additional factor, and it's called hydroscopic. It is hydroscopic. It has an affinity

- for water. So water vapor below 100 percent humidity
  condenses out of the atmosphere, becomes a liquid ammonium
  particle, and that particle gets bigger and it has a larger
  effect on the scattering of the visibility degradation. It
  condensates -- condensation. This is unlike wind-blown dust
  and most organics, which are not hydroscopic, so the water
- Q. Did you have a figure in your report that showed what
  you just described?
- 10 A. Yes. The next exhibit.

vapor has no effect on them.

- 11 Q. Okay. So referring you to Plaintiff's Exhibit 295 for
  12 identification, should be the next one in the binder, can
  13 you -- is this the figure from your report that you're
  14 referring to?
- 15 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 16 Q. Can you explain to us what it shows, please?
- This is a scene of Shining Rock Wilderness looking 17 Α. Yes. at Mount Pisqah. I used the visual air quality simulation 18 techniques that we've developed to show the effects of the 19 same concentration of aerosol mass in the atmosphere. 20 21 of the scenes have sulfur ammonium sulfate and one scene has 22 fine soils, so the same amount -- if you were to measure the 23 amount of aerosols in the atmosphere, you'd get the same 24 number.
- 25 The upper right, or the A diagram, is 20 micrograms per

cubic meter of ammonia sulfate at 50 percent pH -- relative humidity, excuse me -- and the visual range is 27 miles.

B is that same amount of ammonium sulfate, but relative humidity goes to 75 percent instead of 50. The visual range goes to 16 miles.

And the number C is the same amount of micrograms per cubic meter of ammonium sulfate but at 95 percent relative humidity -- which is probably close to this morning, actually -- a visual range of about ten miles.

I must point out this is not fog. This is not fog.

This is water vapor in the atmosphere condensing out on hydroscopic material. If instead of ammonium sulfate you had a non-hydroscopic material, such as wind-blown dust, and you had the same amount of mass -- and that's what you have in image D -- this fine soil, at 95 percent humidity, has a visual range of 81 miles.

This shows the exceptional effect of ammonium sulfate on visibility degradation, which is one of the reasons it is such an important issue in the United States, in fact, all of the world.

- Q. And what does this tell you about sulfur dioxide emissions from power plants like the power plants run by TVA?
- A. It is well known and well accepted that SO2 emissions are the greatest cause of visibility degradation in the eastern United States, as has been stated by the SAMI reports

- 1 and VISTA reports, EPA reports, U.S. Forest Service and
- 2 | National Park Service monitoring.
- 3 **Q.** And do you have a figure in your report that shows that?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. I want to show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 294 for
- 6 identification. Is that a figure out of your report?
- 7 A. Yes, it is. I took it from a report by ABT Associates,
- 8 I believe, in 2000.
- 9 What this is is the source categories for SO2 from
- 10 electrical generation in the United States, where 88 percent
- 11 of the SO2 emitted in the United States comes from burning of
- 12 coal, with other minor electrical generating, oil, gas, and
- 13 other emitting the rest of the SO2, sulfur dioxide.
- 14 | Q. And is this your understanding, in your experience?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 | Q. And as part of your analysis, did you reconstruct
- 17 aerosol extinction at a number of Class I areas --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- in the southeast region?
- 20 A. Yes, I did.
- 21 Q. I want to refer you to Plaintiff's Exhibit 290 for
- 22 | identification. Can you explain to us -- I know you have
- 23 several of these figures. Can you explain to us what you did
- 24 to develop these figures and what they show?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

Q. So let's start with this one, this first one.

A. This data is taken directly from the IMPROVE database, which is maintained by Colorado State University. It's online.

What you do is, the IMPROVE program makes aerosol measurements and speciates those measurements by chemical analysis and then calculates the effective extinction for each type of species, and then it stratifies those into the whole year in various categories.

This particular category is the 20 percent worst days in 2004 at Shining Rock Wilderness Area. The 20 percent worst days are an important category for visibility because they are mentioned in the Regional Haze Rule as the days which will be cleaned up to natural background by 2063.

So what they do is calculate the effect of extinction by species, and then you sum up that total extinction and visibility degradation and you apportion it. And this shows by the measured data by the national IMPROVE program that sulfates are responsible for over 80 percent of the extinction of visibility degradation by aerosols in Shining Rock, with the other constituents, sea salt, coarse mass, which is wind-blown dust, fine soil, light absorbing carbon, which is LAC, organics, which are various kinds of organic emissions, and nitrates, which are ammonium nitrate, making up the remainder.

- Q. Okay. What does this tell you about the source of visibility impairment at the Class I areas in this region?

  Let's first start with Shining Rock.
- A. Well, sulfates are the major dominant contributor for visibility degradation, and sulfates come directly from SO2 emissions, and SO2 emissions are primarily from electrical generating facilities, and that is primarily due to coal-fired electrical generating facilities in the eastern United States.
- 10 Q. Like the ones operated by TVA --
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. -- in Tennessee, Kentucky and Alabama?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Let's take a look at your second aerosol extinction
  summary in Plaintiff's Exhibit 291 for identification. And
  can you explain to us what you summarize here and what it
  shows.
- 18 A. Yes. This is from Linville Gorge Wilderness Area. It
- 19 is, again, part of the national IMPROVE monitoring program.
- 20 This is the data for the 20 percent worst visibility days of
- 21 2004. And this shows that sulfates are over 85 percent of
- 22 the aerosol extinction on the worst days.
- 23 Q. And have you had an opportunity to look at this data
- 24 | since 2004?
- 25 A. Yes. The 2005 data and 2006 data are out. They weren't

- as easily accessible in these kind of pie charts, but it is the same similar thing, over 85 percent -- 80 to 85 percent of sulfates on the worst days.
- 5 identification. And can you explain to us what this shows?

Let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 292 for

- A. Similar plot. This is done for Great Smoky Mountains
  National Park in Tennessee. Again, over 85 percent is due to
- 8 sulfate on the 20 percent worst days due to extinction.
- 9 Q. And so what does this tell you about visibility
  10 impairment in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park in
  11 Tennessee?
- A. Similar picture as we've seen with Linville Gorge and
  Shining Rock, the whole Appalachian region is affected
  primarily by sulfate, ammonium sulfate in the atmosphere
  causing the vast majority of visibility degradation on the
  worst days.
- 17 Q. Let's take a look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 293 for 18 identification.
- MS. GILLEN: Your Honor, TVA would object to

  Exhibit 293 based on Your Honor's previous ruling about

  impacts in states like Virginia, where Shenandoah National

  Park is located.
- THE COURT: All right. Overruled.
- MR. GOODSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

## BY MR. GOODSTEIN:

- 2 | Q. Can you explain to us, Mr. Molenar, what this one shows?
- 3 A. Yes. This is data similar to the IMPROVE monitoring
- 4 program at Shenandoah National Park. It shows that the
- 5 sulfate, again, ammonium sulfate, again, is the primary cause
- 6 of aerosol extinction, primary cause of visibility
- 7 degradation in a wide region in the eastern United States.
- 8 Q. And does this data from Shenandoah National Park confirm
- 9 the traceability of the sources of visibility impairment that
- 10 you've seen at the other Class I areas that you've shown us
- 11 in the last few figures? Is it the same type of
- 12 relationships between sulfates and visibility impairment?
- 13 **A.** Yes. Sulfates are the primary cause of visibility
- 14 impairment in the eastern United States due to SO2 emissions.
- 15 Q. All right. Mr. Molenar, we have a series of summary
- 16 tables that were contained in your report and generated by
- 17 STI. And we don't have to go through these results in
- 18 detail, but I just want you to identify them for the record
- 19 as data that you considered and relied on in reaching your
- 20 conclusions.
- 21 So maybe you could just look at each one and explain to
- 22 us how you considered them and relied on them in reaching
- 23 your conclusions.
- So let's start with Plaintiff's Exhibit 162.
- 25 A. Yes. This is data directly from the STI report. This

is their model improvement of the 20 percent worst days in 2013 with additional controls. And they define it as perceptible visibility improvement, which is defined as a one-deciview change.

Q. Can you tell us what a one-deciview change means?

A. Yes. The perception of visibility degradation is not a linear extinction in the amount of aerosols that are put in the atmosphere. So the deciview metric was developed to try to linearize changes in extinction to a perceptible increment.

The best example of this I could give you would be if you have a very highly polluted day, and we have 100 units of extinction, and we change that by two, that would be a two percent change, it would probably be imperceptible. However, on a very clean day, we only had 12 units of distinction and we changed it by 2, that would be almost a 20 percent change in extinction, which would be highly perceptible.

The same amount of change of aerosol in the atmosphere has a greater effect on cleaner days than on hazy days. That was the concept of deciviews. It's a way of creating a metric which is linear with perceptibility.

So the determination has been that approximately a 10 percent change in extinction, which is one deciview, is a perceptible increment.

Q. And has a one-deciview change been generally accepted as

the change that would result in a perceptible visibility
improvement by the population?

A. There has been a lot of research and discussion on deciview changes. The concept behind this was developed out of studies started in the late 1970s all through the mid '80s run by National Park Service, trying to determine how people perceive changes in air quality.

Those studies surveyed over 2000 people in three national parks using projected images, people looking outside their windows, making measurements of the scene, and it was determined that approximately a 10 percent change in extinction is perceptible to these visitors in the parks.

Over a series of years, that was turned into this concept of deciview. It was put into the Clean Air Regional Haze Rule in 1999, where the EPA stated that a one-deciview change was perceptible. It was used -- it is used by what's called FLAG, which is Federal Land Managers Air Quality Group. It is a group that has developed models to look at siting of new facilities near Class I areas. They have determined -- and they use a half a deciview -- or excuse me -- a half a deciview or a 5 percent change in extinction, as a cause for concern, where they would look up the emissions from a particular plant and say, what can we do to improve it. They use one deciview as a definite flag that they would question the permitting of that facility.

The EPA has reiterated this concept in the 2005 BART, 1 Best Available Retrofit Technology, final ruling in 2005, 2 where they stated that, again, a half a deciview contributes 3 to visibility degradation and one deciview causes visibility degradation when emissions come from any particular facility. 5 Mr. Molenar, as an expert in impacts of air pollution on 6 visibility, based on your experience, how would you describe -- how would you characterize these visibility 8 changes that you have data regarding for improvements in air quality, visual air quality, resulting from additional 10 emissions reductions sought by North Carolina from TVA plants 11 in this case? 12 When I first saw these, when they were first submitted 13 to me, I was actually quite stunned that they were this 14 The national goal is to, over a 60-year period, to 15 clean up the haziest days in this country. 16 17 Having worked with the regional planning organizations

Having worked with the regional planning organizations and looked at other facilities all throughout the United States, to see additional controls resulting in 18 to 20 days of perceptible improvement on the 20 percent haziest days was quite a large number. So I was quite amazed, actually.

- 22 **Q.** And did you take a look at this data in terms of deciview changes as well?
- 24 **A.** Yes.

18

19

20

21

25 Q. And you have a table in your report to show that.

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 Q. And that is Plaintiff's Exhibit 302 for identification?
- 3 A. Yes. What this is was the maximum change model for
- 4 2002 by STI with additional controls. Each specific day is
- 5 noted from the base 2013, and if you had additional controls
- 6 on the TVA, their model predicts up to a seven-deciview
- 7 change in Shining Rock and a two-deciview change at a Class I
- 8 as far as away as Shenandoah National Park. These are the
- 9 maximum changes. These are also the changes that I used to
- 10 generate images from.
- 11 Q. Based on your experience, would you expect similar types
- 12 of improvement at other Class I areas around the region?
- 13 A. You would expect improvements at other Class I areas,
- 14 | the nearest Class I areas, which would be areas like Mammoth
- 15 | Cave National Park, which is already highly improved, and, in
- 16 | fact, had the worst visibility air quality of all national
- 17 park facilities that we monitor.
- 18 You would also expect improvement in areas outside these
- 19 | Class I regions that are contiguous to that area.
- 20 Q. And what do these changes in deciviews that you have
- 21 estimated resulting from the additional emissions reductions
- 22 | sought by North Carolina of TVA in this case -- how would you
- 23 describe, based on your experience, these deciview changes?
- 24 A. The maximum deciview changes are quite large. These are
- 25 from four to seven in the nearby Class I areas. That is a 40

- 1 to 70 percent change in extinction.
- 2 Q. And how would you describe the frequency of these
- 3 improvements based on the number of days?
- 4 A. On the haziest days, we're talking about approximately a
- 5 one-in-four to one-in-three day improvement, which is 25 to
- 6 30 percent of the time there would be perceptible change in
- 7 the worst days in the Class I areas. I believe there is
- 8 another table that talks about the changes all year round,
- 9 not just on the worst days. There are perceptible changes on
- 10 days other than the 20 percent worst days, too.
- 11 | Q. Okay. And is that Plaintiff's Exhibit 161 for
- 12 | identification?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 | Q. And so can you explain to us what you concluded from
- 15 these results based on your experience as an air quality
- 16 atmospheric physics expert?
- 17 | A. Having 40 days a year perceptible change in visibility
- 18 due to any kind of emission controls is a significant event.
- 19 I've been involved with analyses and source apportionment for
- 20 a number of studies on power plants, throughout the western
- 21 United States, specifically, and many times in some of these
- 22 | studies we've seen very little improvement with anticipated
- 23 controls. With this particular control strategy on the TVA
- 24 plants to get 40 days a year -- 40 plus days a year over such
- 25 a wide region is significant.

```
And referring your attention to Plaintiff's Exhibit 160
 1
   for identification, can you explain the significance of these
   results in your conclusions?
 3
        Oh, yeah. We can you use the term "deciview" to do
 4
   perceptible changes. However, for most people, the concept
   of visual range, how far you can see, is a little bit more
 7
   intuitive. And so this data comes again from the STI report,
   and it talks about the change in visual range, or how far you
 8
   could see a large dark objects with these kind of
   improvements. So you see changes in visual range anywhere
10
   from 20 to 100 percent, doubling the visual range at Shining
11
   Rock on the maximum improved days.
12
             MR. GOODSTEIN: Your Honor, we'd like to offer the
13
   exhibits we've covered so far with Mr. Molenar into evidence,
14
   and I believe they're Plaintiff's Exhibit 288, 289, 295, 294,
15
   290, 291, 292, 293, and 302. We offer these into evidence at
16
   this time.
17
                          All right. Let those be admitted.
18
              THE COURT:
19
             MR. GOODSTEIN:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
              (Plaintiff's Exhibits 288 through 295, and 302
20
21
        received.)
22
             MR. GOODSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
   BY MR. GOODSTEIN:
23
```

describe that for us, Mr. Molenar?

24

25

What is the significance of visible range? Can you

A. Oh, yeah. Visible range actually has a well-defined meaning in terms of meteorologic or air quality visibility.

It's how far you can see a large black target on the horizon.

And if you assume that if you have a two percent contrast or that target makes it two percent darker than the background, you would detect that target.

In the eastern United States, visual range has real good physical meaning because you have enough targets out there which are beyond the visual range, so that if you change visual range from 10 miles to 20 miles, you'll see a new mountain that will just all of a sudden appear.

In the western United States, visible range has a little bit less meaning because the visual range is something a little over 150 miles, and there is nothing that far away that you can see.

- Q. So based on your experience, these visual range improvements that we just went over that will result from these emissions reductions at TVA plants sought by North Carolina, what do you conclude about those changes in visual range?
- A. Well, the maximum cleanup days, if you went from 10-mile visual range to 20-mile visual range, all the mountains that were between the 10 to 20 would be visible. Those particular targets would now be visible to the observer.

As was discussed earlier today, sometimes Mount Pisgah

- 1 cannot be seen from the Biltmore Estate. Mount Pisgah, I
- 2 believe they said, is 17 miles away. So if the visual range
- 3 is 10 miles, you can't see Mount Pisgah. If the visual range
- 4 is 20 miles, you can see Mount Pisqah. That's the kind of
- 5 effect it has.
- 6 Q. Mr. Molenar, were you involved in the SAMI process?
- 7 A. Yes. We provided support for data analysis
- 8 interpretation. We developed some software products for them
- 9 to generate graphs and data analysis for the final SAMI
- 10 report, yes.
- 11 Q. Were these relationships that you just described for us
- 12 between sulfur dioxide emissions from coal-fired power plants
- 13 | like TVA's and visibility impairment known to the
- 14 participants in the SAMI process?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And based on your experience, how many -- approximately
- 17 how many years has that been common knowledge in your field,
- 18 that relationship?
- 19 A. Since I started in the field in early 1970s, it was
- 20 known that sulfates were the primary cause of visibility
- 21 degradation in the eastern United States.
- It has been studied, verified, restudied, looked at,
- 23 | source apportioned. The dominant cause of visibility in
- 24 eastern United States is sulfate pollution.
- 25 | Q. And do you know whether TVA participated in SAMI?

- 1 A. Yes, they were a participant.
- 2 Q. Turn your attention, Mr. Molenar, to Plaintiff's Exhibit
- 3 303 for identification. And it should be a figure out of one
- 4 of your supplemental reports. Can you identify it?
- 5 A. Yes. Excuse me. The yellow squares are the Class I
- 6 areas modeled by STI. These are separate areas: Look Rock,
- 7 Great Smoky Mountains, Joyce-Kilmer Slickrock, Shining Rock,
- 8 and Linville Gorge Wilderness Areas, those areas where
- 9 specific improvements were modeled.
- Since regional haze is a regional issue, the haze does
- 11 not end at the boundaries of Class I areas, what I did was
- 12 made a calculated estimate of days in North Carolina, which
- 13 is the red shaded areas, that would also experience 40 or
- 14 more days of perceptible improvement with additional
- 15 controls. This region would extend into Tennessee and into
- 16 | surrounding other states, but on this particular graphic, I
- 17 only focused on North Carolina. So the areas in between
- 18 these class ones areas would also have similar improvements
- 19 in visibility.
- 20 Q. All right. And you mentioned that you stopped the
- 21 | shading on this particular figure at the border, but would
- 22 you expect similar improvements to visual air quality with
- 23 the emissions reductions sought by North Carolina extending
- 24 into the state of Tennessee?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. And you also have some points of interest indicated in
- 2 blue circles.
- 3 A. Blue circles are current state parks in North Carolina,
- 4 which, even though they do not have Class I protection status
- 5 from the federal government, are areas of recreation. I
- 6 think we had some testimony from Chimney Rock -- the ex-owner
- 7 of Chimney Rock that visibility is very important at his
- 8 particular facility, and it would be in this area that would
- 9 experience 40 or more days of improved visibilty --
- 10 perceptible improved visibility.
- 11 Q. And this area includes Mount Mitchell?
- 12 A. Mount Mitchell, yes.
- 13 Q. And it includes Gorges State Park?
- 14 | A. It would be, yes.
- Gorges? Is that how you pronounce it? Yes
- 16 | Q. As well as the Class I receptor areas that you
- 17 identified for us?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And you would expect it to extend to the Look Rock area
- 20 as well, in Tennessee?
- 21 A. Yes. Look Rock was one of the model receptor sites that
- 22 | had 40 plus days of perceptible improvement. So they would
- 23 | extend around that region to some extent and there would be
- 24 | similar improvements.
- 25 Q. And this would include the Asheville area?

A. Yes. Definitely.

MR. GOODSTEIN: Your Honor, we offer Plaintiff's 303 into evidence.

THE COURT: Let it be admitted.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 303 received.).

## BY MR. GOODSTEIN:

- Q. Mr. Molenar, we want to move into your results using the WinHaze model. And maybe you could just give us a little overview into how you prepared these photo simulations and explain to us what they show as we move through the series.
- A. Sure. I'll give you first a brief overview of the modeling process.

Unlike Hollywood, we do not recreate the scene from scratch. We have searched the photographic database from the various national visibility monitoring programs to find the cleanest slide we could find for all the Class I areas.

As was mentioned earlier, even the most hazed out parts of the United States get clean. A few years ago when the hurricanes came through, I happened to have been in the area at the same time, and visibility was dramatically improved.

So for every Class I area in the United States where we've had cameras, we have a base image of a very, very clean day, very near Rayleigh conditions. Rayleigh means no atmospheric aerosol. That becomes the base image from which we generate all of our images. That image is digitized,

turned into an electronic file, and calibrated to generate a
base radiance field, which we then use in radiative transfer
models to model the effects, as on this diagram up here, to
generate a new image under different atmospheric aerosol
loadings, air pollution loadings.

From that, then, you could model a base image at some particular level of aerosols in the atmosphere, change that level of aerosols in the atmosphere, and visualize the improvement with an image, not just a number like two deciviews or five deciviews or a 10-mile visual range.

Visibility is not just how far you can see; it's how well you can see. It's color contrast, detail of the scene, and it's all the sharpness that you pick out on cleaner days.

- Q. So you used -- as your base photographs for the simulations you did in this case, you used photographs from the database that you maintain for the National Park Service?
- 17 **A.** And the Forest Service, yes.
- 18 | Q. And Forest Service.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 19 A. Yes. The cleanest images have been put into WinHaze as 20 base images for anybody's use.
- 21 **Q.** And then you also used the CMAQ air quality modeling 22 output that you obtained from Sonoma Technologies?
- A. Yes. The WinHaze model, what you input into it is
  aerosol concentrations or extinction. You generate that with
  other modeling exercises.

And so what I took was the base images modeled by Sonoma Technologies, the base aerosol extinction, and their improved aerosol extinction on the worst days, and created images for the 2013 base image and then 2013 with additional controls.

Q. Let's go -- let's take a look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 296 for identification. And each of these exhibits coming up contain a series of photographs.

Can you explain to us for this one what each photograph in the series from Plaintiff's Exhibit 296 for identification shows?

A. Yes. This is a view of the Shining Rock Wilderness toward Mount Pisgah. This is the 2013 base image without controls on the TVA power plants with 22.5-mile visual range. I'd have to look back to find the exact deciview, though. I can't remember off the top of my head.

Then the next image, B, is that same scene modeled with additional controls on the TVA coal-fired power plants with a visual range of 45.6 miles.

If we flip back and forth between those two images, you can see the improvements, projected improvements modeled.

And what you see is the far distant horizon becomes much more distinct, the sky becomes bluer, and the foreground images become less murky, less hazed out, which is what you see when you improve visibility. It's not just how far you can see; it's how well you can see details of nearby features which

- 1 are closer than the visual range.
- 2 **Q.** What you see on your screen right now, Mr. Molenar, are
- 3 | those two photos side by side?
- $4 \mid \mathbf{A}$ . Those are two photos side by side, yes.
- 5 Q. The one on the right is the one with the emissions
- 6 reductions sought by North Carolina on TVA plants?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. And what do you see -- based on your experience, what do
- 9 you see is the difference?
- 10 | A. These are the kinds of improvements that -- this is the
- 11 goal of the Regional Haze Rule. These are the kind of
- 12 improvements that are dramatic and significant to anybody who
- 13 visits a visitor center or visibility site in Class I areas.
- 14 Again, we've heard earlier testimony today about the
- 15 importance of visibility to visitors to national parks,
- 16 | wilderness areas, state parks, and facilities such as the
- 17 Biltmore Estate. It is the primary reason that -- one of the
- 18 primary reasons people go to these remote areas, is to have
- 19 clear air.
- 20 Q. Can you see an additional mountain range in the figure
- 21 on the right?
- 22 A. The farthest mountain range is very indistinct on the
- 23 22.5-mile visual range and is quite distinct on the 45-mile
- 24 | visual range. That's because that mountain range is right
- 25 near the visual range, and so, depending on your visual

- acuity, it will either not be there or, if you clean it up, it will be there.
- 3 Q. And what is the improvement in visual range that you
- 4 estimated on this series at the Mount Pisgah vista?
- 5  $\mathbf{A}$ . It was at 12.1 -- excuse me. 22.1. It's almost
- 6 doubled.
- 7 Q. So it goes from 22.5 miles to 45.6 miles?
- 8 A. To 45.6 miles, yes.
- 9 **Q.** Moving on to 296C.
- MR. GOODSTEIN: These are labeled in the upper
- 11 left-hand corner, Your Honor, if that's helpful.
- 12 THE COURT: All right.
- 13 BY MR. GOODSTEIN:
- 14 Q. What does this simulation show, Mr. Molenar?
- 15 A. What it is is WinHaze has the ability to split images.
- 16 You can actually create two images and then take your split
- 17 and put it wherever you want on the scene.
- 18 What you have here is the base case, no control on the
- 19 left, 22.5-mile visual range, and the model improvement with
- 20 controls on the TVA coal-fired power plants. These kind of
- 21 images, these are similar to the images which VISTAS has
- 22 created and are on their website, where they've looked at the
- 23 base 2018 -- excuse me -- the base 20 percent worst days in
- 24 2000 and 2004 and their projected improvements in 2018 for
- 25 meeting regional haze goals.

1 Q. So the improvement is on the right, with the additional 2 controls on TVA power plants.

And what about the next one, 296?

- A. 296 is just the reverse, so that you have the base case, no controls on the right, the case where you have additional controls on the left.
- 7 Q. And how would you describe the differences based on your 8 experience? What do you see here?
- Again, what you see is the far distant mountain range becomes much more distinct. The sky color itself becomes 10 bluer as you decrease the amount of pollution in the 11 That is one of the not discussed -- it's not 12 atmosphere. discussed on a regulatory case about the color of the sky, 13 but it is one of the benefits when you reduce air pollution, 14 is that the sky becomes bluer. Only to the horizon does it 15 become that murky white. The details on the nearby features 16 are also sharper. You start seeing detailed color contrast 17 on those features which are closer to visual range, but not 18 19 just murked out by an opaque veil. You see the trees and the shadows. 20
- That's what happens when you reduce air pollution, in terms of visibility.
- 23 Q. Let's go on to the next series you prepared,
- 24 Mr. Molenar. This should be Plaintiff's Exhibit 297 for
- 25 identification.

3

- A. This is another view at Shining Rock. A number of the
  Class I areas have multiple cameras. This particular one
  operated by the Forest Service has two cameras. This is a
  view towards Cold Mountain from Shining Rock. This is the
- 6 Q. Mr. Molenar, could you do us a favor and clear your
  7 screen. If you'd press "Clear All."

base case, with 22.5-mile visibility without controls.

- 8 Thank you very much.
- 9 And is this a similar series for this Cold Mountain 10 vista in North Carolina?
- 11 A. Yes. It's the same as with the other previous, Shining
  12 Rock vista.
- Q. So can you please take us through this series and explain what it shows.
- 15 A. Again, this is the day that will show the greatest
  16 improvement from STI modeling. This is their base case
  17 without additional controls, 22.5 miles. This is the Cold
  18 Mountain vista.
- The next image, B, would be the improvement to

  45.6 miles, with additional controls on the TVA coal-fired

  power plants, their model improvement.
- The next one would be the split image, with the base case on the left, the improvement on the right. And the final one would be a split image, with the base case on the right and the model improvement on the left.

- 1 Q. How would you describe what these show, based on your 2 experience?
- 3 **A.** Again, similar improvements as with the other image.
- 4 Sky gets bluer; horizon lines get sharper; detail on the
- 5 | foreground becomes more distinct; shadows become darker.
- 6 They're not so light because of the path radiance by the
- 7 scattered radiation from the aerosols in the atmosphere.
- 8 Q. Let's take a look at Plaintiff's 298 for identification.
- 9 Can you explain to us what this series shows?
- 10 **A.** Similar to the previous ones, this is, again, the model
- 11 of the worst day and model of the day with the greatest
- 12 improvement. This is Linville Gorge Wilderness, again, the
- 13 camera operated by the U.S. Forest Service.
- 14 This is their base case day, 19.7-mile visual range.
- 15 | The next slide shows the improvement with additional
- 16 | controls, 34.2 miles visual range. This is a case where on
- 17 the base case the far distant horizon is very, very close to
- 18 the visual range so it's very indistinct and very whited out.
- 19 The improvement, then, you don't have as many nearby features
- 20 to the extent it's a distant panorama.
- The next two images are the split images again, with the
- 22 no control scenario on the left, additional control scenario
- 23 on the right. The final one is no control scenario on the
- 24 right, additional controls on the coal-fired power plants on
- 25 the left.

Again, sky gets bluer; distant terrain features become sharper; intermediate terrain features lose the milky-white haze; close in features, shadows become darker, contrast improves.

- Q. How would you describe the changes in the visible range of the showing on this simulation for Linville Gorge with the additional controls on TVA's coal-fired power plants?
- 8 A. Well, almost 15-mile change in visible range. That's
  9 70 percent range. Not quite as big as Shining Rock, but
  10 they're still very, very large.
- 11 Q. And let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 300 for

  12 identification. Can you explain to us what that series

  13 shows?

14

15

16

17

18

25

A. Yes. This is actually a very old image of the Great Smoky Mountains. This is one of the first images put in WinHaze. This is the worst case model -- day of most improvements, the base case of no controls of 15.5 miles visual range.

The next image is the image with the additional controls on TVA's coal-fired power plants. The visual range increases from 15.5 to 26.2. Again, what you have is a change in -the next one then is the no controls on the left, controls on the right. And the final one is just swapped; no controls on the right, controls on the left.

Again, similar changes. Sky color changes; horizon gets

- 1 bluer as you go higher up in the sky. The horizon itself
- 2 isn't as white. The distant terrain features are darker,
- 3 more contrast. The close terrain features, the shadows
- 4 become darker. Overall visibility is great improvement.
- 5 Q. This is a view from Great Smoky Mountains National Park
- 6 in Tennessee; is that right?
- 7 A. Yes, it is.
- 8 Q. And would you expect similar types of improvements to
- 9 occur in that area around this particular viewpoint?
- 10 A. Oh, yes. Yes. This is just one -- how can I say this?
- 11 There's not enough money to put out cameras to look at all
- 12 the different visibility places in all the national parks and
- 13 | wilderness areas. So when the camera systems were first
- 14 installed, typically, they went to an overview that people
- 15 went to and tried to pick something that was significant for
- 16 the park.
- But you could go to any of these Class I areas and find
- 18 similar views with different kind of distant features that
- 19 you could see from hiking trails even more dramatic, but it's
- 20 just harder to keep your equipment there.
- 21 And the next -- the next picture will show a problem
- 22 with that.
- 23 Q. Okay. So based -- one more question on this one. Based
- 24 on your experience, you would expect to see similar types of
- 25 improvements along the mountains in the Tennessee side of the

- 1 Smokies as you've modeled on Plaintiff's Exhibit 300D.
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. All right. Now let's go on to Plaintiff's Exhibit 301
- 4 for identification. And can you explain --
- 5 A. Yes. This is a view in Shenandoah National Park.
- 6 Actually, this is one of the prettier views in the park.
- 7 It's called Dicky's Ridge. It is an area where we had a
- 8 camera for only six months because it got vandalized twice,
- 9 so we could never do it anymore.
- 10 Luckily, we happened to have a camera there one fall
- 11 when a very clear cold front came through so we could get a
- 12 base image. This has some long, long range views out there.
- 13 This is the day with the most improvement. This would be the
- 14 base case with no controls on the TVA plants, 24.9 miles.
- 15 Then the next image would be with controls on TVA
- 16 | plants. Now, this site has a smaller change in visual range
- 17 and maximum change in visibility. It is also, I believe, a
- 18 couple, 200 miles plus downwind from the power plants, which
- 19 shows that improvements, not as dramatic as at the nearby
- 20 Class I areas, still occur over a wide range over the region,
- 21 as far out as Shenandoah National Park.
- 22 | Q. What does this tell you about the current impacts of
- 23 emissions from TVA coal-fired power plants on visual air
- 24 quality in the region?
- 25 A. It's a regional issue. Regional haze is a large mass of

- 1 polluted air which is impacted by all emissions in that area.
- 2 But emissions from any industrial facility can have
- 3 long-range effects downwind, up to 2 to 300 miles downwind.
- 4 Q. And with the additional emissions reductions sought by
- 5 North Carolina in this case, would you expect to see
- 6 improvements in visual air quality in North Carolina?
- 7 A. Yes. North Carolina, Tennessee, farther out downwind, I
- 8 would expect to see those, yes.
- 9 **Q.** How about Kentucky and Alabama?
- 10 A. When the wind blew in that direction, yes. When
- 11 emissions from the plants were transported into those
- 12 regions, you would see improvement if their emissions were
- 13 reduced.
- 14 \ Q. So if the sulfur dioxide emissions from TVA power plants
- 15 and the resulting sulfates were blowing in the directions of
- 16 areas in each of the states where the plants are located, you
- 17 | would expect to see similar types of visual air quality
- 18 impairment as we've seen in your simulations?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. So the reduction in sulfur dioxide emissions sought by
- 21 North Carolina of TVA in this case is going to have a
- 22 positive effect on visual air quality in the states where
- 23 TVA's plant are located?
- 24 A. I would expect that, yes.
- 25 Q. As well as North Carolina and other states in the

- 1 region?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 MR. GOODSTEIN: Your Honor, we offer 296, 297 and
- 4 298, 300 and 301 into evidence.
- 5 THE COURT: Let those be admitted.
- 6 (Plaintiff's Exhibits 296, 297, 298, 300 and 301
- 7 received.)
- 8 BY MR. GOODSTEIN:
- 9 Q. So, Mr. Molenar, in summary, what did you conclude about
- 10 the improvements in visual air quality that will result if
- 11 TVA were to reduce its emissions as requested by North
- 12 | Carolina in this case?
- 13 A. Reviewing the results of STI's modeling and creating
- 14 these images, and using my experience in the field, the
- 15 | maximum improvements are quite dramatic and the frequency
- 16 occurrence of perceptible changes in visual air quality would
- 17 be significant throughout the region.
- 18 Q. And that includes the states where TVA's plants are
- 19 located.
- 20 A. Yes. Besides the receptors -- I believe, besides the
- 21 receptor's model, there would be a wide region experiencing
- 22 perceptible improvement in visibility on significant number
- 23 of days.
- 24 | Q. And that includes North Carolina?
- 25 A. That includes North Carolina, yes.

- 1 Q. Now, have you had an opportunity to review the expert
- 2 disclosure report submitted by Dr. Ivar Tombach on behalf of
- 3 TVA?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And do you recall Dr. Tombach's comments on your use of
- 6 a one-deciview change as an appropriate unit for perceivable
- 7 improvement in visual air quality?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did those comments cause you to change your conclusions?
- 10 A. No. I've seen Dr. Tombach's comments before. I've
- 11 worked with Dr. Ron Henry, who did much of the work that
- 12 Dr. Tombach's comments were based on, in the past. I have
- 13 had discussions with both of them on this.
- 14 My position still is that a one-deciview change is
- 15 perceptible to a significant portion of the population. It
- 16 | has also been EPA's position and the Federal Land
- 17 | Management's position that that is the case.
- 18 Q. And can you tell us the process that Federal Land
- 19 Managers went through to adopt the one-deciview change as
- 20 their measure of perceivable improvement? Can you just
- 21 | summarize for us the process?
- 22 A. Yes. When the Clean Air Act was amended in 1977 and
- 23 made visibility a national goal, there was no real knowledge
- 24 of how people perceived visibility. How do people actually
- 25 experience changes in air quality when you're looking out in

the field?

National Park Service initiated, in 1979, a perception study at Canyonlands National Park. It was based on work done by a number of psychophysicists, actually, for the American Petroleum Institute, looking at scenic beauty in national parks and wilderness areas.

We presented to observers a series of slides with changing visibility and had them rate those slides. From those slides, we made measurements of what the people were actually seeing with an instrument called a radiometer of the stimulus on the scene.

Besides looking at those particular images, they also then looked outside at the same scene, frame by window frame, and made judgments of a three-dimensional scene, which we took pictures of and then funneled back into this survey.

At Canyonlands National Park, we had over 700 people we surveyed and we tried to determine how people rate changes in visibility and what measurement we could make to tie those ratings to, and those measurements basically used a measurement called contrast, how dark this mountain was against the sky. We looked at confounding effects of clouds, time of day, snow on the mountains, all these different issues, which confound a person's judgment of visibility.

What was interesting was all those confounding effects changed the perceived scenic beauty but not the perceived

visibility. If you held the time of day constant or you hold 1 the clouds constant or you held snow on the mountain constant 2 and changed the air quality, they rated changes in air 3 quality the same. The rating scale was a one-to-ten So a scene with lots of snow and clouds might 5 start out as a base rating of eight and go down to a base rating of four as your change in air quality got worse. scene without clouds might start at a base rate of five and 8 go down to one, but the rate of change with air quality was We were pretty amazed at that. 10 the same.

We continued the studies in 1980 in Mesa Verde National Park and Grand Canyon National Park, where we used, again, similar projected slides, on-site scenes. We also incorporated photographic prints, incorporated split screens, incorporated multiple different ways of testing human response.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

After that, we then spent two or three years in a laboratory, where we were generating computer images, looking at various changes in air quality generated by computer imaging. We also looked at plumes, looked at hazes. We actually also worked with the Department of Defense on detectability of plumes from jet aircraft for pilots. This was a period from 1979 to about 1986.

A number of studies were done. A few thousand people were interviewed. Those coalesced around this concept of a

10 percent change in extinction being detectable to most people when the scenic vista that you were looking at had a sensitive scenic element.

It turned out that when people look out at a scene, if they know the scene reasonably well, they've been trained or they lived there or go there regularly, they key in on these specific scenic elements which have the maximum rate of change in air quality, and so they can accurately and reliably deduce changes in air quality. That idea of a 10 percent change in extinction in view was not a linear aerosol concentration, so the concept then was to linearize it with this term called deciview, which is similar to the way you linearize sounds per decibels. So that one-decibel change is perceptible for sounds; a one-deciview change is perceptible in visibility. It does not mean 100 percent of the people will detect that change; it means a significant probability that people will detect that change.

We've actually -- there are people that have argued, researchers, that a half a deciview or a 5 percent change is detectable by a significant fraction of the sensitive people in the population. So that's why a half a deciview is considered a low concern when a new facility is being permitted that it might cause -- it contributes to visibility degradation, but if a new facility causes a one-deciview change, it causes visibility degradation.

So the converse is true, too. If you put controls on a facility and it results in that one-deciview change, those controls will result in perceptible changes in visibility.

So this was based on number of years of research study, thinking, looking at psychophysical models.

- Q. And has it been uniformly adopted, the one-deciview change, as the increment of perceivable improvement? Has that been uniformly adopted by Federal Land Managers?
- 9 A. Federal Land Managers. EPA adopted, in 1999, the
  10 Regional Haze Rule, and reiterated its position and stance
  11 in the 2005 BART rule that a one-deciview change is
  12 perceptible.
- Q. And the studies you just described that that's based on, approximately how many participants were involved in those studies?
- 16 A. The three major studies, over 2,000 -- over 2500

  17 combined laboratory studies. Probably another hundred. But

  18 the major studies were based on the first three surveys done

  19 in '79 and 1980 and 2000.
- 20 Q. So thousands of participants?
- 21 **A.** Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

Q. Can you describe the studies that Dr. Tombach is relying
on in his comments about your use of a one-deciview change as
an appropriate increment of perceivable improvement in your
work for this case?

A. Yes. When deciview was first published, I believe, in 1994, Pitchford and Malm published a document, in 1994, which first presented deciview in its finalized form.

Right after that, there were a number of reviews of that -- Dr. Tombach actually wrote one, too -- discussing was it proper to -- was one deciview truly the perceptible increment.

At that point Dr. Ron Henry was funded by the Electric Power Research Institute to do a number of studies of how people perceive visual air quality also. He tried to bring into -- he tried to employ standard techniques used in what we call colorimetry, which is the measure of colors by laboratories, which has been developed over the last 50 years for industrial processes, so that when you manufacture a red cup, all your red cups look the same to people. And there's a very well thought out long process for doing laboratory analysis of color.

Well, Dr. Henry developed an instrument called -- a series of instruments called a VICAR, three different ones, for a period of four to five years. It uses a laboratory concept of using an instrument in one eye and viewing a scene with your other eye and trying to generate color matching.

His first study was with two people, his final study was with eight people, and he concluded that human perception of colors is confounded by a lot of issues. One of them is the

- transparency of the atmosphere; the other one is color
  matching itself, using this particular instrument. And his
  conclusion was -- final conclusion was that there is a more
  probability of detection by the public, general public, of a
  one-deciview change. His final paper resulted in about a 16
  to 35 percent probability of detection. He considered that
  to be insignificant.
- Those studies were also submitted to the EPA in the

  9 2005 BART ruling. EPA acknowledged those studies and still

  10 said they stood by their position that a one-deciview change

  11 is perceptible in Class I areas.
- 12 Q. And how many participants were involved in Dr. Henry's
  13 studies --
- 14 **A.** Ten.
- 15 Q. -- that Dr. Tombach is relying on in this case?
- 16 A. Total of ten.
- 17 **Q.** And who were those people?
- 18 A. His first one was -- well, himself. Dr. Henry was
  19 involved in developing the instrumentation. He had two
- 20 graduate students in his first one, and he had several other
- 21 people in the last one. And he tried to pick what we would
- 22 call naive observers, nine graduate students, to look at his
- 23 changes, using his instrumentation.
- 24 Q. So we're talking about the subjects of a study, and the
- 25 total subjects in the Dr. Henry studies that Dr. Tombach were

- 1 relying on were approximately 11?
- 2 **A.** Ten.
- 3 Q. And how many subjects were in the studies that the
- 4 Federal Land Managers have relied on to uniformly adopt the
- 5 one-deciview increment as the increment for perceivable
- 6 improvement?
- 7 **A.** Over 2,000.
- 8 Q. You also, Mr. Molenar, recall comments about your work
- 9 in this case that were contained in Dr. Tombach's report,
- 10 about the use of pictures --
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. -- to visualize model changes in visual air quality
- 13 resulting from the reductions in emissions that North
- 14 | Carolina is seeking from TVA in this case.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You remember those comments?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. Did those cause you to change your presentation and your
- 19 report in any way?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Can you explain to us why that didn't cause you to
- 22 change your presentation?
- 23 A. Yes. I'll try to be brief.
- There is no doubt that a two-dimensional image, a
- 25 | photographic print or a transparency displayed or a movie

does not match reality. You do not have the three-dimensional effect there. However, the photographic industry has gone to great lengths to create processes to generate imagery that, while not measured exactly if you were on site, reproduces a response in human beings that is similar to what you see when you're on site.

We used transparencies, projected transparencies, and computer images on screens to interpret people's response to visibility. We also tied those to on-site, looking out the window of a three-dimensional scene and found that they were very similar.

So the use of photographic prints to show changes in visual air quality, we believe -- I believe, personally -- are to be used quite accurately; that we, as human beings, having had the experience of looking at prints, know how to interpret photographic images. It is not exactly what I would see out there, but it is what I would see if I took a picture of it and was reviewing it back at the house. So changes are perceptible similarly.

The modeling that we've done has been tested in terms of -- the radiative transfer modeling has been tested in a number of studies which shows that our ability to project colors in the atmosphere are quite good. There has been a few studies done looking at the on-site measurements versus our photographic measurements run through this model. Two

major ones. One was in a Dallas/Fort Worth -- a study in
Dallas/Fort Worth looking at brown clouds there due to what
they thought were caused by power plants, looking at
perceptible changes. It's in the report which Dr. Tombach
was editor of and principal investigator of.

In that particular case, I created images of Dallas/Forth Worth that Dr. Ron Henry made measurements from, and the results between on-site measurements and the imagery was done quite well, and in that report they state that photographic imagery, while having limitations, is still the best way of doing it.

There was a second study done by Ron Henry, images of Grand Canyon for the Project Mohave. Those results were not as good, and Dr. Henry and I had a lot of communication about those results, about why they weren't as good. The results of that particular study was just done by Dr. Henry looking at the images. And that's in a report that's been sent to Project Mohave. It's a report that's not widely available. I've got a copy of it and a few other people have.

But, in general, my experience is, after all these years of doing this, is that people can accurately judge changes in imagery as well as they can in the real world.

- Q. Okay. SAMI used pictures and photographs?
- 24 A. Yes. SAMI report has images, actually, of the Great
- 25 Smoky Mountains in their executive summary. VISTAS is using

```
it currently. They have used WinHaze to generate, again, the
 1
   current conditions and their projected improvements in 2018.
   Those images are available on the VISTAS website to the
 3
   public, and it's used -- it's been used in perception
             It's been used in cost-benefit analysis studies by
   the National Park Service, EPA. Electric Power Research
   Institute has used the computer imagery to generate images to
   look at perception work, cost-benefit work, to look at
 8
   projected improvements in the future from the Regional Haze
   Rule. It's used on people's desktops just to play games with
10
   it and see what would happen with it.
11
        And Dr. Anne Smith, who is an economist who we're going
12
   to hear some testimony from, apparently, on behalf of TVA,
13
   has she used this approach in some of her work?
14
              Dr. Smith, a few years ago, examined a
15
   cost-benefit analysis, a willingness-to-pay analysis, for
16
   what people would pay for changes in visibility. It was
17
   originally done by National Park Service in the early '90s
18
   with real 35-millimeter slides.
19
        The problem with real 35 millimeter slides is you can't
20
21
   control accurately the changes. You can only show whatever
   you took pictures of. I created a series of slides of
22
23
   Shenandoah National Park using WinHaze, that she used in her
24
   analysis of people's willingness to pay for changes in
25
   visibility.
```

7

10

12

13

15

17

21

Also done this for, again, SO2 reductions in Cincinnati. 1 2 It was used by the State of Arizona to set their visibility standards in Phoenix, Arizona, created imagery of the city under various air quality levels, and then they surveyed the public and said at what level is visibility unacceptable, and they set their visibility standard based on that survey. What about the use of split images, as you showed us in your testimony? Is that a generally-accepted approach? It's been used by a lot of people. There are arguments about split imagery. Split imagery -- the eye-brain system we've developed we look at edges. See edges really well. 11 There's lots of reasons for that. One, you want to step off a cliff, you want to see edges. When you split an image, you're not changing the stimulus on the two images; you're 14 just putting them side by side with that long, straight line and so you see the line better, but the two stimuli on either 16 side are not changing. It does not modify the stimulus; it allows the change in the stimulus to be seen a little easier. 18 In fact, WinHaze, when you split an image, brings up a 19 warning saying, if you split the image under very low levels 20 of change, you can enhance the perception of that change. 22 a large level of change, it has very little effect at all. Is that a factor in this case? 23 The images I show, those were enlarged changes. 24 25 had no effect.

- 1 Q. And does the Park Service use split images to show
- 2 visual air quality changes?
- 3 A. Yes. The Park Service uses split images; EPA uses split
- 4 images; regional planning organizations have used split
- 5 images. The EPA, the cover image on their 1996 National Air
- 6 Quality Report is a split image of the Great Smoky Mountains.
- 7 Actually, it's the same image as in the exhibits here.
- 8 Q. So the use of pictures, split images and WinHaze to
- 9 model changes in visual air quality resulting from additional
- 10 air pollution controls, this was used in SAMI?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And it was used in VISTAS?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. And TVA's involved with VISTAS as well as SAMI?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. And has Dr. Tombach himself used these kinds of
- 17 | photographic representation techniques to show air quality
- 18 changes?
- 19 A. I believe he has. He has a copy of WinHaze. He is --
- 20 | I've discussed it with him, his use of it. I've never
- 21 | actually been at a presentation where he's used it. I have
- 22 to say that.
- 23 Q. And just for clarification, the base photographs that
- 24 you used in your simulations, those are not natural
- 25 | background; is that right?

- 1 **A.** The base photographs in --
- 2 Q. That you used in your study in this case.
- 3 A. Yes. Yes, that's not natural ground.
- 4 Q. That is not natural background.
- 5 Can you explain the difference for us, please?
- 6 **A.** Yeah. The base images here are typically somewhere
- 7 around 15 to 20-mile visual range. What would be considered
- 8 natural background on the east is a visibility of about 110
- 9 to 115-mile visible range, much cleaner than the base we use
- 10 here.
- 11 Q. So the first photographs that we saw in each of your
- 12 series, those are not natural background photos?
- 13 A. No. Those are -- what STI did was model every day in
- 14 2002. Every day but one, actually. Missed December 31st.
- 15 | They modeled what would be under the current emissions
- 16 | scenarios. And so they modeled every day, and then they
- 17 removed the emissions from TVA with additional controls and
- 18 then remodeled the day, and modeled the change on an
- 19 every-day period, and so that maximum changes occurred on the
- 20 haziest days, not on natural background days.
- MR. GOODSTEIN: Can I have a moment, Your Honor?
- 22 think we can pass the witness. I just want to check with my
- 23 co-counsel for a minute.
- 24 THE COURT: All right.
- 25 (Pause in the proceedings.)

## 1 BY MR. GOODSTEIN:

- 2 | Q. Mr. Molenar, if that analysis that you presented was --
- 3 if you looked at the scenario with controls and compared that
- 4 to natural background, how would that -- how would that
- 5 affect the change that is shown?
- 6 A. If you were to --
- 7 **Q.** Would it be a larger change or a smaller change?
- 8 A. It would be larger and more frequent. If you took the
- 9 model, decreasing in extinction for every day of 2002
- 10 projected by Sonoma Technology, instead of comparing it to
- 11 the visibility on that day, compared it to what we call
- 12 natural background, which is what the Regional Haze Rule
- 13 says, instead of 40-plus days per year of one-deciview
- 14 change, you'd have over 100-plus days per year of
- 15 one-deciview change, with the maximum changes being over 20
- 16 deciviews.
- 17 | Q. But what your analysis did was look at a base case
- 18 projected by Sonoma Technology --
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 Q. -- and compared that to the case with additional
- 21 controls, consistent with what is sought by North Carolina on
- 22 | TVA plants, and then you showed us the difference --
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. -- between those two?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

```
MR. GOODSTEIN: No further questions at this time,
 1
 2
   Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. We will take our noon
 3
   recess, and ask you to be back with us at 2:15.
 4
 5
              (Recess.)
 6
 7
                          [END OF VOLUME 6A]
 8
 9
10
11
   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
   WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
13
   CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
14
15
              I certify that the foregoing transcript is a
16
    true and correct transcript from the record of proceedings
17
    in the above-entitled matter.
18
              Dated this 22nd day of July, 2008.
19
20
                                       S/ Karen H. Miller
21
                                       Karen H. Miller, RMR-CRR
22
                                       Official Court Reporter
23
24
25
```